ARCHIV KER 22863

INTERCROPPING in semi-arid areas

Report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10-12 May 1976

> Editors: J.H. Monyo, A.D.R. Ker, and Marilyn Campbell

> > IDRC-076e





© 1976 International Development Research Centre Postal Address: Box 8500, Ottawa, Canada K1G 3H9

Head Office: 60 Queen Street, Ottawa

Monyo, J. H. Ker, A. D. R. Campbell, M.

IDRĆ

Intercropping in semi-arid areas: report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10–12 May 1976. Ottawa, IDRC, 1976. 72p.

/IDRC pub CRDI/. Report of a symposium on /intercropping/ in semi/arid zone/s in the /tropical zone/, with an examination of /agricultural research/ activities — examines the effects of intercropping on /crop/ /plant production/; includes /research result/s, /list of participants/, /bibliography/c notes.

UDC: 631.584(213) ISBN: 0-88936-107-X

Microfiche Edition \$1

Intercropping in Semi-Arid Areas

Report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10–12 May 1976

Editors: J. H. Monyo, A. D. R. Ker, and Marilyn Campbell

824273

The views expressed in this publication are those of the individual author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of IDRC.



Farmer's field near Ibadan, Nigeria, showing intercrop of cowpea under maiz

Contents

Foreword A. D. R. Ker	5
Addresses to the Participants	
Welcoming address A. M. Hokororo Opening address Hon Mr J. S. Malecela	8 9
Summaries of Papers Presented	
An appraisal of some intercropping methods in terms of grain yield, response to applied phosphorus, and monetary return from maize and cowpeas Y. A. Sudi, H. O. Mongi, A. P. Uriyo, and B. R. Singh	12
Rhizosphere populations in intercropped maize and soybean T. H. M. Kibani, C. L. Keswani, and M. S. Chowdhury	13
Intercropping for increased and more stable agricultural production in the semi-arid tropics B. A. Krantz, S. M. Virmani, Sardar Singh, and M. R. Rao	15
Cropping systems research: the scope and strategy for research in crop combinations based on experience of previous and current studies B. N. Okigbo	16
Mixed cropping research at the Institute for Agricultural Research, Samaru, Nigeria E. F. I. Baker and Y. Yusuf	17
Crop production practices in intercropping systems R. C. Finlay	18
Effects of crop combinations and planting configurations on the growth and yield of soybeans, millet, and sorghum in intercropping R. K. Jana and V. M. Sekao	19
Intercropping with sorghum at Alemaya, Ethiopia Brhane Gebrekidan	21
Studies on mixtures of maize and beans with particular emphasis on the time of planting beans D. S. O. Osiru and R. W. Willey	23
Intercropping of cassava with vegetables G. F. Wilson and M. O. Adeniran	24
Some aspects of the productivity and resource use of mixtures of sunflower and fodder radish R. W. Willey and D. A. Lakhani	25
Preliminary results of intercropping trials in Zaire with maize and certain legumes <i>Thomas G. Hart and Mangha Kewe</i>	27
· ·	n't.)

Contents (concluded)

Effects of maize height difference on the growth and yield of intercropped soybeans D. R. Thompson, J. H. Monyo, and R. C. Finlay	29
Intercropping as a means of producing off-season tomatoes during the hot summer months in the Sudan A. T. Abdel Hafeez	30
Development of cowpea ideotypes for farming systems in Western Nigeria Olatunde A. Ojomo	30
Cereal-legume breeding for intercropping R. C. Finlay	31
Cowpea as an intercrop under cereals H. C. Wien and D. Nangju	32
Selection criteria in intercrop breeding R. C. Finlay	33
Experiments with maize-bean and maize-potato mixed crops in an area with two short rainy seasons in the highlands of	27
Kenya N. M. Fisher	37
Pest control in mixed cropping systems H. Y. Kayumbo	39
Measuring plant density effects on insect pests in intercropped maize-cowpeas B. M. Gerard	4 1
Effects of spraying on yield of cowpeas grown in monoculture and under maize, sorghum, or millet H. Y. Kayumbo, R. C. Finlay, and S. A. Doto	44
Possible relationship between intercropping and plant disease problems in Uganda <i>J. Mukiibi</i>	4 5
Attempted control of virus incidence in cowpeas by the use of barrier crops S. A. Shoyinka	46
Induced resistance to bean rust and its possible epidemiological significance in mixed cropping D. J. Allen	46
A limited objective approach to the design of agronomic experiments with mixed crops N. M. Fisher	47
Systematic spacing designs as an aid to the study of intercropping P. A. Huxley and Z. Maingu	50
Future directions of intercropping and farming systems research in Africa A. D. R. Ker	51
Developing mixed cropping systems relevant to the farmers' environment D. W. Norman	52
Assessment of innovations in intercropping systems C. D. S. Bartlett, E. A. Manday, and G. I. Mlay	58
Summary and Conclusions	
D. W. Norman	59 62
References	63
List of Participants	67

Studies on Mixtures of Maize and Beans with Particular Emphasis on the Time of Planting Beans

D. S. O. Osiru and R. W. Willey¹

Department of Crop Science, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

Recent comprehensive studies have shown considerably higher yield advantages from mixtures as compared to pure stands. For instance, Andrews (20) reported large advantages from mixtures of late-maturing sorghum with finger millet and cowpea, which were attributed to the long growing season that allowed the interplanting of crops of very different maturity periods. At Makerere, mixtures that involved short-term annual crops (maize and beans, sorghum and beans) have outyielded their pure stands by up to 38 and 55% respectively (21, 22). A major conclusion that emerged from the Makerere experiments was that mixtures probably gave higher yields because they were able to utilize the environmental resources much more efficiently than pure stands.

The real physiological mechanism by which the physical environment may be better exploited by mixed crops is not well understood. There are basically two possibilities: firstly, mixtures may achieve better spatial use of resources because of more efficient canopy structure or rooting pattern. Secondly, they may achieve better temporal use of resources because different growth cycles of the component crops combine to give an extended period of efficient resource use.

So far little research attention has been directed to determining the relative importance of these two effects. A number of experiments were therefore carried out at Makerere, the main objective of which was to examine the importance of different growth cycles in the productivity of mixtures.

Like the maize and beans experiment reported earlier (21), a "replacement series" of pure maize, two-thirds maize/one-third beans, one-third maize/two-thirds beans, and pure beans was used at three plant populations and at three dates of planting beans. The maturity periods of the maize and beans were 120 and 85 days, respectively. A high level of nitrogen was again applied to eliminate the effect of nitrogen transfer from the beans.

Yields of the mixtures were up to 25% higher than could be achieved by growing the two crops separately. These advantages of the mixture decreased markedly with delayed planting of the beans. For instance, at population 3 for the mixtures, which consisted of two-thirds maize/one-third beans, the yield advantages decreased from 20% when beans were planted the same time as maize to only 2% when the beans were planted 4 weeks after.

It is concluded that differences in maturity periods of the component crops were probably the major factors contributing to the yield advantages in these mixtures.

¹Present address: ICRISAT, 1-11-256 Begumpet, Hyderabad 500016, A.P., India.