ARCHIV KER 22863

INTERCROPPING in semi-arid areas

Report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10-12 May 1976

> Editors: J.H. Monyo, A.D.R. Ker, and Marilyn Campbell

> > IDRC-076e





© 1976 International Development Research Centre Postal Address: Box 8500, Ottawa, Canada K1G 3H9

Head Office: 60 Queen Street, Ottawa

Monyo, J. H. Ker, A. D. R. Campbell, M.

IDRĆ

Intercropping in semi-arid areas: report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10–12 May 1976. Ottawa, IDRC, 1976. 72p.

/IDRC pub CRDI/. Report of a symposium on /intercropping/ in semi/arid zone/s in the /tropical zone/, with an examination of /agricultural research/ activities — examines the effects of intercropping on /crop/ /plant production/; includes /research result/s, /list of participants/, /bibliography/c notes.

UDC: 631.584(213) ISBN: 0-88936-107-X

Microfiche Edition \$1

Intercropping in Semi-Arid Areas

Report of a symposium held at the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania, 10–12 May 1976

Editors: J. H. Monyo, A. D. R. Ker, and Marilyn Campbell

824273

The views expressed in this publication are those of the individual author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of IDRC.



Farmer's field near Ibadan, Nigeria, showing intercrop of cowpea under maiz

Contents

Foreword A. D. R. Ker	5
Addresses to the Participants	
Welcoming address A. M. Hokororo Opening address Hon Mr J. S. Malecela	8 9
Summaries of Papers Presented	
An appraisal of some intercropping methods in terms of grain yield, response to applied phosphorus, and monetary return from maize and cowpeas Y. A. Sudi, H. O. Mongi, A. P. Uriyo, and B. R. Singh	12
Rhizosphere populations in intercropped maize and soybean T. H. M. Kibani, C. L. Keswani, and M. S. Chowdhury	13
Intercropping for increased and more stable agricultural production in the semi-arid tropics B. A. Krantz, S. M. Virmani, Sardar Singh, and M. R. Rao	15
Cropping systems research: the scope and strategy for research in crop combinations based on experience of previous and current studies B. N. Okigbo	16
Mixed cropping research at the Institute for Agricultural Research, Samaru, Nigeria E. F. I. Baker and Y. Yusuf	17
Crop production practices in intercropping systems R. C. Finlay	18
Effects of crop combinations and planting configurations on the growth and yield of soybeans, millet, and sorghum in intercropping R. K. Jana and V. M. Sekao	19
Intercropping with sorghum at Alemaya, Ethiopia Brhane Gebrekidan	21
Studies on mixtures of maize and beans with particular emphasis on the time of planting beans D. S. O. Osiru and R. W. Willey	23
Intercropping of cassava with vegetables G. F. Wilson and M. O. Adeniran	24
Some aspects of the productivity and resource use of mixtures of sunflower and fodder radish R. W. Willey and D. A. Lakhani	25
Preliminary results of intercropping trials in Zaire with maize and certain legumes <i>Thomas G. Hart and Mangha Kewe</i>	27
· ·	n't.)

Contents (concluded)

Effects of maize height difference on the growth and yield of intercropped soybeans D. R. Thompson, J. H. Monyo, and R. C. Finlay	29
Intercropping as a means of producing off-season tomatoes during the hot summer months in the Sudan A. T. Abdel Hafeez	30
Development of cowpea ideotypes for farming systems in Western Nigeria Olatunde A. Ojomo	30
Cereal-legume breeding for intercropping R. C. Finlay	31
Cowpea as an intercrop under cereals H. C. Wien and D. Nangju	32
Selection criteria in intercrop breeding R. C. Finlay	33
Experiments with maize-bean and maize-potato mixed crops in an area with two short rainy seasons in the highlands of	27
Kenya N. M. Fisher	37
Pest control in mixed cropping systems H. Y. Kayumbo	39
Measuring plant density effects on insect pests in intercropped maize-cowpeas B. M. Gerard	4 1
Effects of spraying on yield of cowpeas grown in monoculture and under maize, sorghum, or millet H. Y. Kayumbo, R. C. Finlay, and S. A. Doto	44
Possible relationship between intercropping and plant disease problems in Uganda <i>J. Mukiibi</i>	4 5
Attempted control of virus incidence in cowpeas by the use of barrier crops S. A. Shoyinka	46
Induced resistance to bean rust and its possible epidemiological significance in mixed cropping D. J. Allen	46
A limited objective approach to the design of agronomic experiments with mixed crops N. M. Fisher	47
Systematic spacing designs as an aid to the study of intercropping P. A. Huxley and Z. Maingu	50
Future directions of intercropping and farming systems research in Africa A. D. R. Ker	51
Developing mixed cropping systems relevant to the farmers' environment D. W. Norman	52
Assessment of innovations in intercropping systems C. D. S. Bartlett, E. A. Manday, and G. I. Mlay	58
Summary and Conclusions	
D. W. Norman	59 62
References	63
List of Participants	67

Preliminary Results of Intercropping Trials in Zaire with Maize and Certain Legumes

Thomas G. Hart and Mangha Kewe

National Maize Program, Lubumbashi, Republic of Zaire

Practically without exception, maize farms throughout Zaire are planted on raised beds, about 40–50 cm high with an interbed (interrow) spacing of approximately 1 metre. Maize hills usually consist of two to four plants at about 50 cm spacing along the bed. Even amongst the most progressive farmers, this laborious practice of hoeing-up beds for maize culture exists.

Following harvest, farmers put the current season's maize fodder together with various weeds and other crop residues (squash vines, tomato vines, peanut tops) on the surface of the ground in the bottom of furrows between the current season's beds. These furrow bottoms become next year's beds, and the residues, mainly maize fodder, act as the new year's fertilizer supply.

Although the system as described above seems ingenious on the one hand. because (1) the raised beds would improve soil drainage if drainage were a problem; (2) owing to the raised culture, each maize plant probably has access to more topsoil than on flat culture and thus more fertilizer via the mineralization of organic matter; and (3) the system provides a means of putting under next year's fertilizer supply, viz., the maize fodder, other crop residues, weeds, etc.; on the other hand, there are at least as many arguments against the system as for it. In the first place, growing maize on poorly drained areas should be avoided,

particularly since the maize crop is very sensitive to "wet feet," especially in countries like Zaire where there is no squeeze due to land pressure, either to a lack of arable land or the privilege of being able to farm it. Secondly, preparing such beds requires tremendous quantities of human physical energy; energy that could be spent on much more productive things. Though farmers will often say that flat culture is much more work than their traditional farming method, the bold fact remains that insofar as land preparation is concerned, such is just not the case in spite of the fact that any method of land preparation using the hoe prior to planting is by far the hardest phase of maize farming. That weeding, sidedressing, and hoeing-in of fertilizer urea are viewed as extra work in flat culture by farmers is another matter that should not be confused with land preparation, and the farmers need to be taught and convinced that their failure to weed or sidedress fertilize their maize fields, as they traditionally do not do, is not in their best interest, though at present, they often simply view the practice as unnecessary or unprofitable expenditures of work and money. Thirdly, little benefit is actually derived in terms of nitrogen and phosphorus from using last year's maize fodder as the fertilizer source for next year's maize crop. The extremely wide C:N in dry maize stalks adds but a trifle of useful fertilizer elements and therefore the fodder is also of little or no value in contributing to soil humus either.

Since the use of raised beds will doubtless be in practice for years to come, a trial was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of maize stalks as the fertilizer source now generally used by many maize farmers in Zaire. Additionally, the potential of Crotalaria caricea and Vigna unguiculata intercropped with maize was anticipated to augment the following year's maize yield when used as a crop residue like maize fodder is now used by farmers.

All cropping systems studied in the trial were done with and without fertilizer and with and without crop residues.

Important highlights from the results reveal that:

- (1) fertilized plots highly significantly outyielded plots that received no fertilizer;
- (2) plots that received maize stalk residues or those intercropped with a legume (*C. caricea* the previous year 1973–74 and *V. unguiculata* during the season for which the results are pre-

sented, viz., 1974–75) highly significantly outyielded plots that received no residues or legume intercrop;

(3) plots intercropped with a legume highly significantly outyielded those plots that were not legume intercropped, but received only maize fodder residues.

(4) plots intercropped with a legume on the same day as maize was planted significantly outyielded plots where the legume was planted after maize. (N.B.: For the 1973–74 season, *Crotalaria* was planted 19 days after maize sowing, and for the 1974–75 season, *Vigna* was planted 12 days after maize sowing.)

Thus far the results of the still-ongoing intercrop trials on two soil types are very encouraging, particularly on yellow clay soil. Maize yields are clearly augmented dramatically when maize is grown on ridges that were furrows grown to a legume the previous year. The trial has also shown that *C. caricea* competes too severely with maize if planted at the same time as maize whereas the converse is true when *V. unguiculata* is the legume intercropped with maize.