IDRC-186e

INTERCROPPING

Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Intercropping in Semi-Arid Areas, held at Morogoro, Tanzania, 4-7 August 1980

Editors: C.L. Keswani and B.J. Ndunguru

1



493,02

IDRC-186e

INTERCROPPING

Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Intercropping in Semi-Arid Areas, held at Morogoro, Tanzania, 4-7 August 1980

Editors: C.L. Keswani and B.J. Ndunguru

University of Dar es Salaam Tanzania National Scientific Research Council International Development Research Centre



525 2 3

The International Development Research Centre is a public corporation created by the Parliament of Canada in 1970 to support research designed to adapt science and technology to the needs of developing countries. The Centre's activity is concentrated in five sectors: agriculture, food and nutrition sciences; health sciences; information sciences; social sciences; and communications. IDRC is financed solely by the Parliament of Canada; its policies, however, are set by an international Board of Governors. The Centre's headquarters are in Ottawa, Canada. Regional offices are located in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Middle East.

©1982 International Development Research Centre Postal Address: Box 8500, Ottawa, Canada K1G 3H9 Head Office: 60 Queen Street, Ottawa

Keswani, C.L. Ndunguru, B.J.

ć

University of Dar es Salaam, Dar es Salaam TZ Tanzania National Scientific Research Council, Dar es Salaam TZ International Development Research Centre, Ottawa CA

IDRC-186e Intercropping : proceedings of the Second Symposium on Intercropping in Semi-Arid Areas, held at Morogoro, Tanzania, 4-7 August, 1980. Ottawa, Ont., IDRC, 1982. 168 p. : ill.

/Intercropping/, /semi-arid zone/ — /agricultural research/, /Africa/, /cultivation practices/, /plant breeding/, /plant protection/, /crop yield/, /research results/, /research methods/.

UDC: 631.584(213)

ISBN: 0-88936-318-8

Microfiche edition available

Contents

Foreword R. Bruce Scott 7

Addresses to the Participants

Welcoming address N.A. Kuhanga 10

Opening address Hon John S. Malecela 12

Agronomy

Summary and conclusions B.J. Ndunguru 16

Comparative development and yield and other agronomic characteristics of maize and groundnut in monoculture and in association *O.T. Edje* **17**

Evaluation of soil-testing methods for available potassium in some soils of Morogoro B.R. Singh, A.P. Uriyo, M. Kilonde, and John J. Msaky **27**

Intercropping maize or millet with soybean, with particular reference to planting schedule *E.N. Nnko and A.L. Doto* **33**

Some observations on the effects of plant arrangements for intercropping K.W. May and R. Misangu **37**

Agroforestry: preliminary results of intercropping Acacia, Eucalyptus, and Leucaena with maize and beans J.A. Maghepube and J.F. Redhead **43**

Intercropping under marginal rainfall conditions in Kenya Hassan M. Nadar **50**

Influence of plant combinations and planting configurations on three cereals (maize, sorghum, millet) intercropped with two legumes (soybean, greengram) D.B. Nyambo, T. Matimati, A.L. Komba, and R.K. Jana 56

Density of dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) interplanted with maize (*Zea mays*) — summary W. de Groot **63**

Evaluation of phosphorus placement methods and nitrogen carriers under conditions of maize-bean intercropping — summary Andrew P. Uriyo, Budh R. Singh, and John J. Msaky 65

Effect of planting schedule and intercropping systems on the production of green-gram (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.) and bulrush millet (*Pennisetum americanum* (L.) Leeke) — summary K.W. May **66**

Influence of intercropping methods on foliar NPK contents and yields of maize and cowpeas — summary H.O. Mongi, M.S. Chowdhury, and C.S. Nyeupe **67** Modifying the competitive relationship in maize-bean mixtures in Kenya — summary O.E. Hasselbach and A.M.M. Ndegwa **68**

Physiological aspects of maize and beans in monoculture and in association — summary O.T. Edje and D.R. Laing 69

The relative importance of above- and below-ground resource use in determining yield advantages in pearl millet/groundnut intercropping — summary M.S. Reddy and R.W. Willey **70**

Effects of moisture availability on intercropping and yield advantages — summary M. Natarajan and R.W. Willey **71**

Performance of a maize-legume intercrop system in Sri Lanka — summary H.P.M. Gunasena 72

Effect of minimum tillage, mulches, and fertilizers on intercropped cowpeas with maize — summary A.A. Mashina and R.K. Jana **73**

Increased resource exploitation through intercropping with cassava — summary G.F. Wilson and T.L. Lawson **74**

Groundnut-maize interplanting in southern Mozambique — summary A.D. Malithano and J. van Leeuwen **75**

Plant Breeding

Summary and conclusions A.L. Doto 78

Genotype evaluations and implications for adapting plant material for intercropping K.W. May and R. Misangu **79**

Soybean-cereal intercropping and its implications in soybean breeding *M.M. Makena and A.L. Doto* **84**

Genotype identification for intercropping systems — summary D.S.O. Osiru 91

Plant Protection

Summary and conclusions C.L. Keswani 94

A study of crop/weed competition in intercropping N.R. Mugabe, M.E. Sinje, and K.P. Sibuga **96**

Intercropping of maize and cowpea: effect of plant populations on insect pests and seed yield A.K. Karel, D.A. Lakhani, and B.J. Ndunguru 102

Effect of intercropping on the severity of powdery mildew on greengram C.L. Keswani and R.A.D. Mreta **110**

Bean production in monoculture and in association with maize: the effect of diseases and pest incidence — summary H.A. Van Rheenen, O.E. Hasselbach, and S.G. Muigai 115

Effect of intercropping on some diseases of beans and groundnuts — summary J.K. Mukiibi 116

Effect of insecticide spray on insect pests and yield of sorghum and simsim in pure stand and in intercropping — summary D. Kato, A.K. Karel, and B.J. Ndunguru 117

Farming Systems

Summary and conclusions B.J. Ndunguru 120

The use of farming systems research for understanding small farmers and improving relevancy in adaptive experimentation M.P. Collinson **121**

Asian experience in cropping systems research Gordon R. Banta 126

An experimental approach for improving present cropping systems in tropical Africa Peter Vander Zaag and Pierre Tegera 131

Farming systems economics: fitting research to farmers' conditions *J.W. Gathee* **136**

On-farm experiments: some experiences C.N. Muriithi 141

Interaction between agronomic research and agricultural economic analysis to develop successful dryland cropping systems in Kenya *H.M. Nadar and* Gordon *E. Rodewald* **146**

Farming systems and farming systems research in Morogoro — summary *P. Anandajayasekeram* **155**

Farming systems research in Uganda: past performance and future prospects — summary *I. Fendru* **157**

Mixed cropping in Tabora region — summary J.E. Mansfield 158

Farming systems research questions — summary C.D.S. Bartlett and E.A.M. Okarie **160**

Concluding Remarks and Participants

Concluding Remarks R. Bruce Scott 162

Participants 164

row crop. Nitrogen was not applied to any of the other legumes grown in the augmented treatments. All plots received a blanket application of P_2O_5 and K_2O at a rate of 56 kg/ha of each. Maize was spaced at 100 cm \times 50 cm, whereas intercrop spacings for soybean and mung bean were 50 cm \times 5 cm and cowpea and groundnut were 50 cm \times 10 cm. Soybean seeds were inoculated with commercial inoculant "Nitragin S" at 5 g/60 kg of seeds.

It was observed that in both intercropping and monocropping, maize showed a positive response to N at both locations. Yield, however, varied widely. Variations in yield were attributed to different agroclimatic conditions. In the intercropping systems, the yield of maize tended to decrease. This was attributed to the inability of maize to compete with soybean for nutrients and other resources. Except in a few cases, intercropping depressed the yield of soybean.

In general, the total yield of intercropped systems increased. The amount of the increase depended considerably on the N level used. Thus at 25 kg N/ha, total yield increased under intercropping in all six locations. This indicated that intercropping makes better overall use of resources than when the same crops are grown separately.

In augmented experiments involving a maize-cowpea combination, the yield of maize varied from location to location. In general, cowpea yields were depressed by intercropping. In the case of maize-mung bean trials, intercropped maize increased its yield compared with its monocrop counterpart in three of four trials. In maize-French bean intercropping, maize yield was higher in the intercropping system and the yield of the legume decreased due to intercropping. In the maize-groundnut system, maize yield was higher compared with monocropped maize, whereas the intercropped groundnut yield was lower.

In general, applied nitrogen tended to increase the crude protein content of both maize and sorghum. Although intercropping tended to depress the protein content in some cases, the crude protein harvest of combined maize-soybean was significantly higher than that of the monocropped system.

Based on land equivalent ratio values, it was also observed that in all experiments, regardless of N level, the intercrop system provided higher economic returns than the corresponding monocrop system.

Discussion

Edje (question): In your experiment, the results show net returns indicating, among other things, that labour cost has been deducted from the gross value. What was the plot size in the trial? I ask this because it is fairly difficult to obtain meaningful results for labour input on small plot sizes.

Gunasena (answer): The plot size was small. Labour for weeding and fertilizer application for 1 day was around Rs15 (U.S.\$ 1.0).

Haque (question): How many rows of legumes were grown between maize rows?

Gunasena (answer): In most cases, two rows of legumes were grown between maize rows. Sometimes, however, one row of legumes was grown.

Jana (question): Was your experiment carried out under rain-fed and irrigated conditions?

Gunasena (answer): It is possible in this part of Sri Lanka to grow crops without irrigation. The lowest rainfall level is about 350 mm. However, the distribution is not good.

Effect of Minimum Tillage, Mulches, and Fertilizers on Intercropped Cowpeas with Maize — Summary

A. A. Mashina and R. K. Jana

Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Sciences, University of Dar es Salaam, Morogoro, Tanzania

Water has always been a limiting factor for crop production in most of the semi-arid areas of the world, including the Morogoro region. Rainfall in these areas is unpredictable and is characterized

by variation over the years, with distinct periods of high and low levels of precipitation leading to insufficient soil moisture levels during certain periods to support plant growth. Soil erosion is another factor limiting crop production in semiarid areas, especially where natural vegetation has been removed, causing reduced soil fertility. In order to restore soil fertility in these areas, shifting cultivation has been practiced. Due to increased population pressure, introduction of new cash crops, and new farming systems, however, this customary practice has given way to hoe cultivation in the form of clean weeding. In addition, when continuous farming replaces traditional cultivation in semi-arid regions, degradation of soil structure; accelerated soil erosion; increased fluctuation in soil temperature; and decreased organic matter, nutrients, and moisture holding capacity result.

The objectives of the present study, therefore, were to examine the effect of cultivation technigues, mulch treatments, and fertilizers on grain vield of maize and cowpeas in an intercropping system. Experiments were conducted at the faculty farm at Morogoro. The treatments in these studies included three cultivation practices (continuous conventional cultivation: cultivation in the first year only in 1975, i.e., when the experiment was first started; and zero tillage, i.e., only scraping of the area before planting) and five mulch treatments (10-cm thick grassy mulch; 20-cm thick grassy mulch applied in two splits; 10-cm woody mulch; 20-cm woody mulch applied in two splits; and no mulch). Four fertilizer regimes were used: nitrogen alone at 60 kg N/ha; phosphorus alone at 17.5 kg P/ha; N plus P at 60 kg N/ha plus 17.5 kg P/ha; and no fertilizer.

A $3 \times 4 \times 5$ factorial trial in a randomized block design with two replications was laid out. In the experiments, maize variety MAS (medium altitude selection) and cowpea variety SVS 3 were used. A number of growth parameters including yield components and grain yield at a moisture content of 13% were measured during the 1978 cropping season.

The results indicated that continuous cultivation gave the highest grain yield of both component crops, whereas the no-mulch treatment recorded the lowest grain yield. Other mulch treatments, including 20-cm grassy mulch, 10-cm woody mulch, and 20-cm woody mulch, were intermediate in performance. Nitrogen and phosphorus together gave the highest grain yield of maize and cowpea, whereas the treatment using no fertilizer gave the lowest yield. N and P alone were intermediate. The statistical analysis of grain yield of maize-cowpea was significant at the 0.05 probability level only for the cultivation practices; for both mulch and fertilizer treatments, it was significant at the 0.01 probability level.

Discussion

Haque (question): What type of wood was used for mulch?

Jana (answer): Tender twigs of different plants were used as woody mulch.

Edje (question): Your plots in the slides looked very clean. Did you remove the weeds?

Jana (answer): The weeds and mulch were cleared every time. Herbicides were also applied to control weeds.

Mills (question): Were the effects of cultivars, mulch, and fertilizer additive or were interactions present?

Jana (answer): All effects were additive.

Wilson (question): How do you explain the results that show a negative response to zero tillage and a fertilizer response to mulching when the mulched plots were also under no tillage.

Jana (answer): Attempts were made to combine the three: fertilizer, mulch, and no tillage. In the farmers' fields there is no mulch because they burn the crop residues.

Increased Resource Exploitation Through Intercropping with Cassava — Summary

G. F. Wilson and T. L. Lawson

International Institute for Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria

In almost all indigenously evolved tropical cropping systems in which cassava (Manihot esculanta

- Crantz) contributes substantially to the total outg put, mixed cropping or intercropping pre-