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## INTRODOCTION

## Significance of the Problem

During Sukhothai and early Ratanakosint periods Thai women, by customs and beliefs, kept themselves in the house, doing housework and refraining from outside social activities. ${ }^{1}$ Since then the status and role of Thai women have considerably changed. Instead of keeping themselves in the households, they participate in outside activities. In 1960, women comprised 37.0 percent of the total labor force, ${ }^{2}$ in 1966, 38.6 percent, ${ }^{3}$ and in 1972, 44.7 percent. ${ }^{4}$ In 1975 approximately $8,317,690$ persons or about 45.8 percent of the total national employed were women. ${ }^{5}$ It is obvious that Thai women have increasingly played a significant role in the country's social and economic development.

Arising from their participation in the country's economy are the problems of childrearing. Statistics reveal that among the working women, those who have been married and had children are increasing in number and proportion, and that young married women who have a relatively low income and have to work outside the home to maintain their living standard, are likely to face problems of childrearing. The magnitude of the problems depends on several factors including the health of mothers and children, spouse relationship and attitudes toward childrearing. The problems tend to cause maternal anxiety as they are related to household economic well-being. Women who have to look

[^0]after small children become dependent. The problems are further intensified particularly when they have had to continue their work and could not find anyone to take care of childrearing.

Usually when a woman has to do both housekeeping and working outside home, there is a problem with childrearing. ${ }^{1}$ The significance of the problem lies not only in feeding children but in socializing them as well. The most common solution adopted by Thai women is either getting a servant to take care of their children or taking them to nurseries. But whether the servants or nurseries can take over the role of mothers is an important research question. The problem of childrearing is a significant one but no study has been done particularly in relation to female labor force participation.

Children are the nation's future only if they are physically able and well socialized. But if they are not physically well, they are more likely to be liabilities than assets to the families and the nation. Even more so when they are unhealthy. Within the families when they become ill it is the mothers who look after them. The role of mothers in the labor force is consequently reduced. Thus childrearing is related to the role of women and consequently national social and economic development.

Objectives of the Study
The objectives of this study are manifold:

1) To investigate how female labor force participation, either within or outside the households, affects the children's health.
2) To find out the nature of the problems faced by women who work outside the home.
3) To discover a way in which the conflict between childrearing and participation in economic activities outside the home can be solved.

## Hypotheses

Major hypotheses in the study are:

1) The nature of female labor force participation affects the pattern and quality of childrearing in terms of time spent with children, nutrition, health and child-rearers.

[^1]2) Women who work outside the home tend to have more problems of childrearing than those who work at home.
3) Women who work outside the home recognize the impact of family size on their economic role. They tend to have a smaller size and practice more family planning than those who work at home.

## Research Contribution

The research project will provide basic data necessary for the country on female labor force participation and related problems regarding the health of children and attitudes toward childrearing. The findings could be used to formulate policies on the quality of the Thai population, particularly of children and female labor force participation.

In addition, data made available by the research project will be useful for students and people who are interested in demography, sociology and related social sciences.

Variables and Diagrammatic Relationships Among Them
Variables included in the study can be classified into four types: (1) control, (2) independent, (3) intervening and (4) dependent variables. Each type differs in its content. The control variables deal with socioeconomic and educational characteristics of the respondents. The independent variables are those concerned with the nature of female labor force participation, duration of work, number of live births, and women's current age. The intervening variables are the amount of time spent with children, breast-feeding, characteristics of the persons who take care of children, and the husbands' role in childrearing. The dependent variables include children's health as measured by weight, height, perimeter of child's head, breast, and arm, emotional development, intelligence, sociability, and child health history.

The pattern of interrelationships among the four sets of variables can be diagrammatically shown as follows.

## Definition of the Terms

1. Female labor force participation is defined as women's engagement in income-generating activities either in terms of wage or salary, whether outside or inside the home.

2. Quality of childrearing refers to the following characteristics:
2.1 Physical, emotional, intelligence and social development of children.
2.2 Health problems as measured by frequency of illness and nature of illness.
2.3 Basic health care for children which includes all immunity prevention within specified periods.
2.4 Relationships among mother, father and children, and method of childrearing.

## General Conceptual Framework

With modernization, a social system generally changes its role from diffusion to specificity. ${ }^{1}$ Insofar as family is concerned, changes in economic activities which were formerly based on kinship have stripped the families of some of the roles which they used to play in the past. The role of families becomes more specific; they are no longer selfsufficient as economic units. The members of a family have to work outside the home to earn their living. There is an increasing tendency to disengage economic functions from the families.

Another consequence of the social change is its impact on women's status. Women become less inferior to men in economic, social and political roles than they were in the past. They can go outside the home to participate in economic activities, at least for a period of life. ${ }^{2}$

Formerly the role of women was clear and not complex. The first role they played as an adult was that of wife and mother. They knew what was expected of them: i.e., taking care of children and household chores. When women's roles change, their status within the families change accordingly. Technological change has also reduced the amount of household work. Despite all the changes, women still perform various functions they traditionally did in the past.

[^2]Several of these changes confuse women about their roles. ${ }^{1}$ They have lost a number of their past functions. This leads to a change in attitude toward their proper role. They may feel guilty of not performing women's traditional role as well as the older generation. Their work outside the home causes a role conflict. They cannot perform all work satisfactorily. A solution to the problem is to delegate housework and childrearing to someone else, albeit a maid or kin. Whatever solution they adopt is likely to affect the health of their children.

## Interrelationships Among the Variables

Economic variables are likely to have differential effects on the nature of women's labor force participation. A decision to work is generally induced by economic motives, particularly among low income families. Women work to earn additional income, to enjoy their living, to make use of their knowledge, or to seek professional advancement. Economic factors partly determine the nature of women's work. Those who are better off are likely to work in occupations requiring skills, knowledge, and technical competence. The kind of work they are engaged in is likely to earn them higher income, better security and carry more social prestige or recognition than those who come from poorer economic conditions. The latter are likely to work in occupations requiring no skill with little training, mostly manual labor with low pay and less opportunities to become better off. The former are generally found to have better economic opportunities than the latter.

Education also plays a significant role in the nature and type of occupation a person is engaged in. The better educated people are likely to be engaged in professional work, whereas the less educated serve as skilled labor with low pay. The kind of work reflects personal educational achievement.

The age cohort of the women also affects the rate of female labor force participation and its nature. Women who are under 34 years of age participated in labor force more than those 35 years of age and over. ${ }^{2}$

1 Robert R. Bell, Marriage and Family Interaction: Illinois: The Porsey Press, Inc., 1963, p. 257.
2 Suchart Prasith-rathsint, "Relationship Between Labor Force Participation and Fertility of Rural Thai Women, Journal of Social Sciences, Vol. 8 (Oct. 1971), pp. 23-48.

Regardless of their urban-rural residence, it has been found that those who worked outside the home considered childrearing a problem more than those who did not. The former had more opportunities to associate with other people and were more exposed to social movements and modern social values, including views on family size, than their non-working counterparts. The former also tended to believe they could increase their earnings by working outside the home and better their lives by participating in the national economy.

Several social and economic factors are also related to family size that affect the health of the family members. For instance, family size is likely to be inversely related to children's weight and health. That is to say, the development of children in a larger family size is likely to be worse than those in a smaller family size. In addition, family size is also adversely related to children's health. Children of a family size of four or more are likely to have poorer health and get ill more frequently than those of a smaller family size. Consequently, the former need more and better health expenditures and care from their mothers.

Children with abnormal development caused by abnormal physical and emotional conditions need more attention from mothers. Different ways of breastfeeding and mild-feeding could make a difference in appetite for food and the consequent growth of children. In less developed countries women who breastfeed their children are generally of lower socio-economic status than women who do not. The latter are also found to have fewer children and more likely to work outside the home.

## Review of Literature

A study by Sinee Kamolnawin on the changing role and status of Thai women also revealed that the majority of women preferred to work outside the home rather than stay at home. Those who worked outside the home tended to have familial problems as they could not satisfactorily carry out the functions of a wife. They were also not able to properly socialize their children. ${ }^{1}$

[^3]Rapeepun Hunpanich classified urban families into three economic groups - poor, moderate, and well-to-do. She found that about 27 percent of urban women worked outside the home. The better off tended to work in a greater proportion than the poorer ones. This could be interpreted to mean that the better off women were in a better position to work outside the home. They had greater economic opportunities and more training to the extent that they were better qualified to perform industrial kinds of work than the less fortunate ones.

The study also included an analysis of the nature of female labor force participation by occupational classification. It was found that about one-third of urban women engaged themselves in commerce and service industries, about 8.7 percent in professional work, 7.2 percent manual work, and 4.5 percent in agriculture. Those who did not work constituted 48.4 percent of all the respondents. Data also showed that women who were economically better off worked outside the home in a greater proportion than the poorer ones.

Paris and others also found that the idea of mothers working outside the home was generally acceptable, particularly among better educated women who tended to work outside the home. ${ }^{2}$ They also studied the occupation of husbands and wives in a university and discovered that husbands did not prevent wives from working as they needed additional income. But if there were other alternatives, husbands would prefer their wives not to work.

With respect to age as an important factor affecting labor force participation, Suchart Prasith-rathsint found that the percentage of female labor force participation in rural areas increased with age. ${ }^{3}$

[^4]Only 10.9 percent of women aged $20-24$ as compared to 20.6 percent of women aged $35-39$, and 26.5 percent of women aged $45-49$ years participated in outside home economic activities. The relationship between age and level of participation in labor force is significant at . 05 .

James A. Sweet found that in the U.S.A., the rate of ever-married women's labor participation is high between 14-19 and 45-49 years of age and declined at the age of 55-59. ${ }^{1}$ The age differential participation rate is related to economic factors, marital status, and childbearing. Physical health also declines with age. Women aged above forty years are likely to be in a better economic position than the younger ones who are still energetic and could work to earn income to strengthen their families' economic position.

Hunpanich's study also revealed that women in the middle and upper income groups were found in professional work in a greater proportion than those in the lower income groups. Women who were 15-24 and 25-34 years also worked in a greater proportion than those who were thirty-five years and over. Women tended to be engaged in commerce and service occupations in a greater proportion than in any other occupations and their participation rate in these occupations rates increases with age. As for laboring occupations, data showed that the participation rate of the income groups declined after forty-five years of age.

With respect to childbearing, James Sweet ${ }^{2}$ found that about 43 percent of America women who worked outside the home had no children, 33 percent had one and 20 percent had two. The study also found that rates of labor force participation of the working women declined as the number of children increased. In Sweden, Murray Gendell found that the percentage of women working full-time varied inversely with the number of children.

Having children is a barrier for women to participate in economic activities, particularly the outside home ones. In the urban areas, for the middle and well-to-do women, the number of living children

[^5]adversely affects the proportion of women in professional occupations. It was found that the number of living children has no relationship with women's participation in commerce and service industries. As for the laboring occupation, it was found that the number of children only affected women's participation when they had five children or more.

In rural areas, the number of living children had only positive impact on women's participation in agricultural occupations, if their children did not exceed four.

Other studies also found that in less developed countries, female employment is crucial as it not merely increases women's role in economic development but also has a negative impact on fertility. ${ }^{1}$ The latter impact was considered more important than the former. Freedman pointed out that the relationship between fertility and female labor force participation depends not only on family planning practices which included birth control methods of varying effectiveness, but also on the nature of the work women participate in. That is to say, whether the work physically separates husbands and wives. The relationship between the two variables is a reciprocal one as some women went out to work because they wanted few children, and others had few children so they went out to work. Consequently, in studying the relationship between fertility and female employment, one has to take into account differences in family planning practices as well as the nature of work.

Based on the 1960 Population Census, Suchart Prasith-rathsint, with the use of stepwise multiple regression, analyzed the percentage of women employed in agriculture in seventy-one provinces in Thailand: that of literate women, and that of women in an economically active age, on the one hand, and fertility index, on the other. It was found that the set of variables could significantly explain the fertility of women at various age groups by 22 to 48 percent, and that fertility was positively related to the percentage of women in agriculture, but negatively with the percentage of women in the industrial and service occupations, and that about one-fifth of the women worked after marriage. Whether women worked or did not work outside the home after marriage, the number of livebirths increases with age. The difference in the

[^6]number of livebirths between these two groups of women mostly has no statistical significance. But if it is significant, it is generally found that women who worked or used to work had more children than those who never did. The study concludes that female labor force participation is related to fertility decline and that the nature of work has no less significant impact on fertility decline. Women who worked in agriculture had more children than their counterparts in industry and service.

Another study found that urban women who worked had fewer children than those who did not. The finding is consistent with the findings in more developed countries. However, there are basic differences in female labor force participation between the less and the more developed countries. In the latter, women who participated in labor force were generally engaged in wage earning and outside home activities. Thus they had to choose between childrearing and work as the families they lived in were mostly nuclear, consisting of married couples and their children, while they worked outside the home, it is also somewhat difficult to have a maid unless they are really well-to-do. In contrast, women in the less developed countries tend to be engaged in agriculture and live in extended families with someone to take care of their children. Thus, it is frequently found that working women have the same family size as do the non-working ones. Even in the urban areas, most women tend to work in the households. They are in a position to look after children while they work.

When the age of children is considered, it has been found that the age of the youngest child is related to the probability of mothers' working outside home. Glendell's study discovered that in Sweden and the U.S.A., the probability of mothers' working outside the home increased with the age of the youngest child. Mothers whose children were still young and not yet schooled mostly did not participate in labor force.

Napaporn Hawanondh found a significant difference in the division of labor between families of women who work and those of women who do not work outside the home. Among the former, only half of the wives took care of children whereas among the latter, 85 percent did so. The difference is statistically significant. As working mothers took
the responsibility of childrearing in a smaller number than the ones who did not work, some other persons had to take their place in taking care of the children. One-third of these people were maids or kin. Only 18.3 percent were husbands. Data also show that husbands whose wives work outside the home tend to share the burden of childrearing more than those whose wives do not work. However, the difference between them is not statistically significant, implying that whether wives did or did not work outside the home, husbands did not actually increase their share in childrearing.

Supa Malakul Na Ayudhaya found that most families had kinfolk to bring up children. They are breast-fed as well as given formula (powdered) milk. Netrsai Rungruentham and Chariyawatr Kompayak found that whether women worked or not did not make any statistical difference in the kind of milk given to children. With respect to immunization, it has been found that a month after delivery, about 84.8 percent of mothers took their children for physical check-ups and immunizations on time. For the subsequent appointments, about 94 percent of them regularly kept the appointments. There was no statistical difference in education and occupation between mothers who worked outside the home and those who did not. However, there was a significant difference in the duration of breast-feeding. Working mothers were allowed a month or a month and half leave of absence. During this time, they breast-fed their babies but stopped breast-feeding them soon after they returned to work. In contrast, the non-working mothers could continue their breast-feeding. The practice of breast-feeding among working and non-working mothers has an impact on child health but many other social, economic and demographic are also associated with child well-being. For instance, Dingle and his colleagues who made a longitudinal study of families of various social classes in Cleveland, Ohio, discovered that family size was related to children's health. For example, infectious gastroenteritis increased with family size. Illness is more prevalent in a larger family. Consequently children demand more attention from their mothers and the family spent more on health. Specifically, the study discovered that infectious

[^7]gastroenteritis and respiratory diseases were more prevalent in larger families as the probability of being infected in the larger families was greater than in the smaller ones.

The Mahidol Institute of Nutritional Research also found that the quantity of food children received was reduced when the size of the family increased, and that the quality of food was better in smaller than in larger families. ${ }^{2}$ A survey by a team of medical students of the Ramathibodhi Hospital at Bang Pa In found that about 55 percent of pre-school aged children who suffered from malnutrition came from families with four children or more, and 42 percent from children with three children or less.

Recent studies on pre-school aged children in the Philippines similarly found that nutritional status of a family member became worse with an increase in family members. Children of large families had lower nutritional status than those of smaller ones. ${ }^{3}$ The Laguna's studies also revealed that the growth of pre-school aged children who were of the fourth or the third parity was slower than that of the older ones. Social and economic factors were also associated with children's nutritional status, particularly when their mothers did not have the time to pay adequate attention to their children. It is conceivable that if mothers have children at close intervals and have to look after their children alone, their children's nutritional status, is adversely affected due mainly to the lack of calories, protein, vitamin $A$ and iron, including poor physical and emotional environment.

Alfredo, who made a nutrition study of the pre-school aged children at Candelaria, Columbia, also found that family size was a factor affecting children's malnutrition. Children from a larger family suffered from malnutrition more than those from a smaller one. About 32 percent of children from families of four or fewer as compared to 44 percent of those of four or more suffered from malnutrition.

[^8]3 Pinthip Boriboonsuk and Somsong Sitrayun, Population Education in Home Management Course (Bangkok: New Thammada Karnpim, 1977), p.

There are also numerous longitudinal studies of the relationship between family size and children's physical development. For instance, the National Health Survey of Health and Development of Children in England found that among all the one-week-old infants of March 1946, the difference in family size did make a difference in physical growth not only among the lower but all social classes. However, there was no relationship between child's height and family size among the upper and the middle class who were employed in professional occupations and the owners of businesses. But the relationship between the two variables increased among the lower classes and became most evident among manual workers. Nonetheless, children of both sexes in all social classes and all age groups were found to be equally well developed.

Studies by Grant (1964) ${ }^{1}$ and Scott (1962) ${ }^{2}$ found that the height and weight of children were inversely correlated with family size. That is to say, physical development of children from larger families was worse than that of children from smaller families.

It has also been found that emotional and psychological well-being of children is related to the number of their siblings. Adolescents of small families were psychologically and emotionally better adjusted than those of larger ones. The former were found less in mental hospitals than the latter. In Maryland, it was also found that children's colds were more frequently found in larger than smaller families.

Syvia, who studied the childrearing of the white mothers in South America in 1959, discovered that families of different socio-economic status differed in their childrearing. In the families of higher socioeconomic status whose spouses earnestly seek social status and who had frequent social functions, their children suffered emotionally from their mothers' indifference. Nevertheless, in the families of lower social status whose spouses had to struggle to make a living, children who were not regularly well treated also suffered emotionally.

In brief, the review of literature has shown that children's health is affected not only by female labor force participation but

```
1 Ibid., p. 11.
2 Ibid., p. 11.
```

also by other social, economic and demographic factors. Significant among them are family size, birth parity, social class occupation, and education of mothers. It is the objective of this research to study the relationship among them.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## Target Population and Sampling Procedure

This research project will focus its study on the population of Bangkok Metropolis. Five districts were proportionally sampled from the total twenty-two districts in Bangkok Metropolis. From each district 120 households were sampled, half of which consisted of working women and the other half of non-working women. The total sample size is 600 working and non-working women.

## Data Collection

The investigator, together with other co-investigators, collected information related to social, economic and demographic characteristics of mothers at childbearing ages: between 15 to 49 years. They were interviewed about childbearing practice from infancy to six years of age, fertility, family planning practice and desire for additional children. A questionnaire was developed and pretested. Children whose age was under seven years were weighed. Also, their height, and the perimeters of their head, chest and left-hand arm were measured.

## Data Processing and Analysis

Data were coded, recoded, and verified by trained coders, and then key punched. The methods of data analysis used in this study are cross-tabulations with statistical tests, multiple classification analysis and multiple regression analysis.

Insofar as scaling is concerned, Guttman's technique is used with a criterion of a minimum coefficient of reproducibility of .90 . Four scales have been developed. They are a) children's emotional and social development; b) problems of children's emotional and social development; c) children's health development; d) other development of children's eating and sleeping habits; and e) father's behavior.

The purpose of the scaling is to set up a scoring system based on the ordering structure generated by Guttman's scaling technique.

The individual children were accordingly assigned a sum of scores for each scale.

## General Characteristics of the Sample

## 1) Characteristics of Mothers

All women covered in this study are mothers aged between 15-49 years, having a child less than seven years of age at the time of interview. Their general characteristics are shown in Table 2.1 and briefly described as follows:

With respect to education, sliğhtly over half the interviewed mothers had four years of primary education, about one-fifth had education between the fifth year of primary and the third year of secondary education, and 14.3 percent had education beyond the fourth year of secondary level. The rest had three years of primary education or less (See Table 2.1).

About one-fourth of the mothers were aged 24 years and younger, one-third of them aged between 25 and 29 years, less than one-fifth between 30 and 34 years, and the rest aged 35 years and over.

Regarding their employment status, half of the mothers did not work, 29.2 percent worked outside the home and the rest worked within the households.

With respect to monthly family income, about two-fifths of the women's families had an income of US $\$ 100$ to US $\$ 200$ per month. Only a small minority had a lower income than that. The rest had a monthly income of more than US $\$ 200.00$. In terms of family income per capita, about 43.6 percent of the families had a monthly income per capita of US\$25 or less, 39.7 percent had a monthly income per capita of US\$25US $\$ 50$. The rest had more than US $\$ 50.00$ per month.

Regarding the age of mothers in relation to employment, data showed that the majority of women who worked outside the home were 30 years old and over. About one-third were of 25 to 29 years of age. Only a small minority of them were aged 24 years or younger. As for those who worked within the households, about 57 percent were 30 years old and over, only 14.6 percent were 24 years old or younger. among

Table 2.1 Selected Characteristics of the Sampled Population

| Characteristic | N of Cases | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  |  |
| Bangkok Noi | 124 | 19.7 |
| Phaya Thai | 123 | 19.5 |
| Dusit | 125 | 19.9 |
| Nongkhaem | 122 | 19.4 |
| Prakanong | 136 | 21.6 |
| Total | 630 | 100.0 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |
| 3 years of primary or less | 69 | 10.9 |
| 4 years of primary | 345 | 54.8 |
| 5 years of primary to third year of secondary | 126 | 20.0 |
| 4 years of secondary | 90 | 14.3 |
| Total | 630 | 100.0 |
| Age |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 162 | 25.7 |
| 25-29 | 208 | 33.1 |
| 30-34 | 149 | 23.7 |
| 35 and over | 110 | 17.5 |
| Total | 629 | 100.0 |


| Characteristics | N of Cases | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment |  |  |
| Working outside home | 184 | 29.2 |
| Working at home | 128 | 20.3 |
| Non-working | 318 | 50.5 |
| Total | 630 | 100.0 |
| Family Income Per Month | 177 | 28.7 |
| US $\$ 100.00$ or less | 251 | 40.7 |
| $100.00-200.00$ | 189 | 30.6 |
| 200.00 and over | 617 | 100.0 |
| Total | 269 | 43.6 |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 245 | 39.7 |
| US \$ or less | 103 | 16.7 |
| $25-50$ | 617 | 100.0 |
| Total and over |  |  |

Table 2.2 Percentage Distribution of Women by Labor Force Participation, Family Income Per Month, and Income Per Capita

| Age and Income | Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | $x^{2}$ | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-Working |  |  |  |
|  | Outside | At home |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 18.5 | 15.6 | 34.0 | 25.7 |  |  |
| 25-29 years | 32.6 | 27.3 | 35.7 | 33.1 | 38.88 | 0.001 |
| 30 years and over | 48.9 | 57.0 | 30.3 | 41.2 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| N of Cases | 184 | 128 | 317 | 629 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family Income Per } \\ & \underline{\text { Month }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US \$ 100 or less | 15.3 | 13.4 | 43.0 | 28.7 |  |  |
| 100-200 | 38.3 | 47.2 | 39.5 | 40.7 | 80.74 | 0.0000 |
| 200 and over | 46.4 | 39.4 | 17.5 | 30.6 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| N of Cases | 183 | 127 | 307 | 617 |  |  |
| Income Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US \$ 25 or less | 30.0 | 32.3 | 56.4 | 43.6 |  |  |
| 25-50 | 37.6 | 52.0 | 35.5 | 39.5 | 69.41 | 0.0000 |
| 50 and over | 32.4 | 15.7 | 8.1 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| N of Cases | 183 | 127 | 307 | 617 |  |  |

the non-working mothers, the majority of them were $25-29$ years of age, and one-third of them were 24 years or younger. It could be inferred that as women became older, they participated more in the labor force. The relationship is statistically significant at .001.

As for the relationship between family income and female labor force participation, data showed that the majority of women who worked outside the home had an average family income of US $\$ 200$ or more per month. Only a small minority had less than US $\$ 100.00$. Those who worked at home had an average monthly income of US\$ $\$ 100$ to $\$ 200$ per month. Slightly over one-tenth had an income of less than US\$100.00. Those who did not work had an average family income of less than US $\$ 100.00$, and only a small minority had more than US $\$ 200$ per month. It could also be inferred that women who worked were generally better off than those who did not. The relationship is statistically significant at .0001. A similar relationship is found between monthly family income per capita and female labor force participation.

## 2) Characteristics of Children

With respect to pre-school aged children, the study included 755 children. Boys constituted about 51 percent. The age distribution of these children is shown in Table 2.3. Over half of them were younger than three years of age.

Table 2.3 Distribution of Children by Age in Months

| Age in Months | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 months old and younger | 175 | 23.2 |
| $12-23$ | 173 | 22.9 |
| $24-35$ | 145 | 19.2 |
| $36-47$ | 111 | 14.7 |
| $48-59$ | 77 | 10.2 |
| $60-71$ | 61 | 8.1 |
| $72-83$ | 12 | 1.6 |
| Total | 754 | 100.0 |

Regarding the history of pregnancy, it has been found that the great majority of mothers had taken pre-natal care, and had their births delivered at hospitals, clinics, and health stations. Less than 4 percent delivered babies at home. About 89 percent had normal births, 5.3 percent caesarian, 6 percent with surgery. About 89 percent of births were delivered at the full term, 6.4 percent exceeded the full term, and only 4.5 percent before the full term.

## CHAPTER 3

FEMALE LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION, FAMILY SIZE, DESIRE FOR ADDITIONAL CHILDREN, AND BIRTH CONTROL

The review of literature has revealed that female labor force participation and the quality of pre-school aged childrearing are related to numerous factors. The present study confines itself to the characteristics of mothers, children, fathers, the families themselves, and childrearing practices.

Mothers' characteristics include labor force participation, age, education, number of children, desire for additional children, and birth control practice. Childrearing practice variables include characteristics of the persons who look after the children, the persons who made decisions on children's food, the relationship between mother and child, children's physical check-up, immunization, child mortality experience, children's illnesses, malnutrition, emotional and social development and its problems; children's eating, sleeping and toilet habits and socialization. Children's characteristics are pre-natal care, full-term delivery, duration of breast-feeding, sex, age, and parity. Paternal characteristics include fathers' participation in childrearing, social and familial habits. Family characteristics consist of monthly family income, monthly income per capita, family type, and number of family members.

This chapter will analyze the relationships between women's participation in the labor force, on the one hand, and the desire for children, birth control, and fertility, on the other hand. The subsequent chapter will analyze female labor force participation and the quality of pre-school aged childrearing.

## Labor Force Participation and Family Size

The previous review of literature provides a general conceptual framework relating female labor force participution with fertility. Empirically, it has been found that among women in Bangkok Metropolis, about one-third had one child, slightly less than one-third had two children, 16.3 percent had 3 , and 18.3 percent had at least four.

Table 3.1 also shows that women who worked outside the home tended to have lower fertility than those who stayed or worked at home respectively. The relationship between the two variables is significant at .001.

When the current age of mothers is controlled, it has been found that the majority of women aged 24 years and younger had one child, regardless of their labor force participation status. The older age groups had more children than the younger ones. The chi-square test confirms the statistical significant relationship between the two variables.

In order to further investigate the relationship between the two variables, other variables are brought into the analysis. They are education of mothers, income and family type. The result of the analysis of variance shown in Table 3.3 indicates a strong relationship between the family size, on the one hand, and the age and education of mothers, on the other. All the covariates-income variables and family type are significantly related to the dependent variable.

Table 3.1 Percentage Distribution of Women by Current Family Size

| Current Family Size | Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | Statistical Test | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-Working |  |  |  |
|  | Out- <br> side | At home |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37.0 | 22.7 | 36.5 | 33.8 |  | 0.001 |
| 2 | 35.3 | 29.7 | 30.2 | 31.6 | $x^{2}=27.72$ |  |
| 3 | 12.0 | 15.6 | 19.2 | 16.3 | $c=0.20$ |  |
| 4 and more | 15.8 | 32.0 | 14.2 | 18.3 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 184 | 128 | 318 |  |  |  |

Table 3.2 Percentage Distribution of Women by Labor Force Participation, Age and Current Family Size

| Age and Current Family Size | Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | $x^{2}$ | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-Working |  |  |  |
|  | Outside | At home |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 67.6 | 55.0 | 67.5 | 66.0 | 3.85 | 0.44 |
| 2 | 32.4 | 45.0 | 28.7 | 31.5 |  |  |
| 3 and more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number |  | 20 | 108 | 164 |  |  |
| 25-29 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 41.7 | 31.4 | 25.8 | 31.3 | 11.48 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 45.0 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 39.4 |  |  |
| 3 and more | 13.3 | 31.4 | 37.1 | 29.3 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number |  | 35 | 113 | 208 |  |  |
| 30 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.2 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 15.8 | 8.78 | 0.07 |
| 2 | 30.0 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 25.1 |  |  |
| 3 and more | 47.8 | 68.5 | 62.5 | 59.1 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number |  | 73 | 96 | 259 |  |  |

$X_{\text {pooled }}^{2}=24.11 ; c=0.19 ;$ sig. $=0.02$

Table 3.3 Analysis of Variance of the Current Family Size

| Source of Variation | Sum of Square | df | Mean Square | F | Signif. of $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 638.815 | 8 | 79.852 | 57.693 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mothers | 425.859 | 3 | 141.953 | 102.562 | 0.000 |
| Education of Mothers | 86.805 | 3 | 28.935 | 20.906 | 0.000 |
| Labor Force Participation | 6.928 | 2 | 3.464 | 2.503 | 0.083 |
| Covariates | 113.084 | 3 | 37.695 | 27.235 | 0.000 |
| Family Income for Month | 24.975 | 1 | 24.975 | 18.044 | 0.000 |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 103.765 | 1 | 103.765 | 74.971 | 0.000 |
| Family Type | 24.123 | 1 | 24.123 | 17.429 | 0.000 |
| Explained | 751.899 | 11 | 68.354 | 49.386 | 0.000 |
| Residual | 835.981 | 604 | 1.384 |  |  |
| Total | 1587.881 | 615 | 2.582 |  |  |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Family Income Per Month | 0.000 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | -0.001 |
| Family Type | -0.467 |

Table 3.4 shows the patterns of relationship between the dependent and the independent variables. With respect to age and current family size, the younger women tend to have a smaller family than the older ones. However, when other independent variables and covariates are controlled, the relationship between the two variables are somewhat reduced but the patterns of the relationship remain the same.

Insofar as education is concerned, data show that women who are better educated have smaller family size than those who are less educated. The relationship remains the same even when the differences in other characteristics are controlled.

It has also been found that labor force participation is related to fertility. Generally, women who worked outside the home had a smaller family than those who stayed or worked at home.

However, when differences in age and education are controlled, those who simply stayed at home had smaller family size than those who worked outside the home and those who worked at home respectively. The implication is that working at home does not conflict with having a larger family size. In fact they complement one another. Those who simply stayed at home were likely to be in a poorer economic condition, and other things being equal, they could not afford to have a larger family. The three independent variables could explain about 47 percent of the variance of the dependent variable.

In order to estimate women's current family size as a function of these independent variables - age and education of mothers, labor force participation, income per capita and monthly family income, multiple regression analysis is utilized. Table 3.5 illustrates basic statistics of these variables and their simple correlation coefficients. It reads as follows: women average about 29 years old, 6 years of education, two to three children with monthly family income of US\$ 187 and monthly per capita of US $\$ 37.00$. All the independent variables are lowly correlated in the expected directions.

The result of the multiple regression analysis reveals that among the set of the independent variables, age of mothers is the most significant one as far as family size is concerned. The two variables are positively related. Next in importance are income per capita,

Table 3.4 Multiple Classification Analysis of the Gurrent Family Size

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Age of Mothers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 158 | -1.05 |  | -1.06 |  | -1.01 |  |
| 25-29 | 205 | -0.34 |  | -0.27 |  | -0.22 |  |
| 30-34 | 146 | 0.36 |  | 0.40 |  | 0.38 |  |
| 35-49 years and over | 107 | 1.69 |  | 1.54 |  | 1.40 |  |
| Education of Mothers |  |  | 0.57 |  | 0.54 |  | 0.50 |
| 3 years of primary or less | 63 | 1.23 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.74 |  |
| 4 years of primary | 339 | 0.09 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 5 years of primary to third year of sec ondary | 125 | -0.38 |  | -0.18 |  | -0.18 |  |
| 4 years of secondary | 89 | -0.68 |  | -0.74 |  | -0.45 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.31 |  | 0.25 |  | 0.19 |
| Labor Force Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 183 | -0.20 |  | -0.09 |  | -0.02 |  |
| At home | 127 | 0.68 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.24 |  |
| Non-working | 306 | -0.16 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.09 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.22 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.08 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.402 |  | 0.474 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.634 |  | 0.688 |

Table 3.5 Means, Standard Deviations, and Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Variables

| Independent Variables | ME | NLC | TW | HIN | PIN | Means | Standard <br> Deviation | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MA : Age of Mothers | -0.026 | 0.605 | 0.243 | 0.197 | 0.059 | 28.852 | 6.077 | 616 |
| ME : Education of Mothers |  | -0.282 | 0.225 | 0.414 | 0.394 | 5.927 | 3.971 | 616 |
| NLC : Living Children |  |  | 0.101 | -0.037 | -0.261 | 2.419 | 1.607 | 616 |
| TW : Labor Force Participation |  |  |  | 0.278 | 0.208 | 0.503 | 0.500 | 616 |
| HIN : Family Income Per Month |  |  |  |  | 0.680 | 3740.802 | 2729.547 | 616 |
| PIN : Income Per Capita Per |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.6 Sumary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Current Family Size

| Independent Variable | $\underset{R}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & \text { Error B } \end{aligned}$ | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of Mothers | 0.605 | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.605 | 0.154 | 0.583 | 0.008 | 364.810** |
| Income Per Capita Per Konth- | 0.674 | 0.454 | 0.089 | -0.261 | -0.001 | -0.313 | 0.1D-03 | 61.570** |
| Education of Mothers | 0.693 | 0.481 | 0.026 | -0.282 | -0.084 | -0.208 | 0.013 | 40.482** |
| Family Income Per Month | 0.700 | 0.490 | 0.010 | -0.037 | 0.1D-03 | -0.136 | 0.2D-04 | 10.409** |
| Labor Force Participation | 0.701 | 0.491 | 0.001 | 0.101 | 0.108 | 0.034 | 0.100 | 1.175 |
| Conetant |  |  |  |  |  | -1.271 |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  |  | 0.701 |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 117.856 |  |  |

* Level of significance at . 01

Table 3.7 Percentage Distribution of Women by Labor Force Participation, Desire for Additional Children and Current Family Size

| Deaire for Additional Children | Nature of Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Statis- } \\ \text { tical } \end{array}$ | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-working |  |  |  |
|  | Outside | At home |  |  |  |  |
| Deaire | 30.9 | 25.6 | 29.8 | 29.2 | $x^{2}=1.08$ | 0.59 |
| Non | 69.1 | 74.4 | 70.2 | 70.8 | $c=0.04$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 178 | 125 | 309 | 612 |  |  |
| Women who had one child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desire | 65.6 | 42.9 | 54.5 | 56.4 | $x^{2}=4.46$ | 0.11 |
| Non | 34.4 | 57.1 | 45.5 | 43.6 | $c=0.15$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 64 | 28 | 110 | 202 |  |  |
| Women tho had two children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desire | 18.8 | 36.1 | 25.3 | 25.1 | $x^{2}=3.69$ | 0.17 |
| Hon | 81.3 | 63.9 | 74.7 | 74.9 | $C=0.14$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 64 | 36 | 95 | 195 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Homen who had at least } \\ & \text { three children } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desire | 2.0 | 11.5 | 7.7 | 7.4 | $x^{2}=3.60$ | 0.17 |
| Non | 98.0 | 88.5 | 92.3 | 92.6 | $C=0.13$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 50 | 61 | 104 | 218 |  |  |

$$
X_{p}^{2}=11.75 ; C=0.23 ; \text { sig. }=0.07
$$

mothers' education and monthly family income. They are negatively correlated with fertility. All the independent variables significantly explain about 70 percent the variance of the dependent variable. Thus the derived regression equation can provide good estimates of fertility of the Thai women in Bangkok Metropolis.

With respect to female labor force participation, it has been found that, with the model of analysis, it is not significantly related to the current family size. However, it could be related to the desire for additional children and thus their future fertility performance. The following analysis deals with the relationship between the two variables.

Female Labor Force Participation and Desire for Additional Children
With respect to the relationship between female labor force participation and desire for additional children, it has been found that mothers who worked outside the home somewhat desired additional children in a greater proportion than those who worked at home and those who did not work at all. However, the difference among them is not statistically significant as other factors are also related to the desire for additional children.

It is likely that desire for additional children is affected by the number of children women already have. Preliminary analysis shows that women who had fewer children desired additional children in a greater proportion than those who had more, and that after having one child, those who worked outside the home desired fewer children than those who simply stayed or worked at home respectively.

When the other variables, such as age and education of mothers, number of children, monthly family income, monthly income per capita, and family type, are considered, analysis of variance shows that the number of children is the most significant variable that determines the desire for additional children. None of the income variables is statistically significant as shown in Table 3.8.

With the use of multiple classification analysis, it has been found that the younger mothers generally desired to have additional children in a greater proportion than the older ones, as shown in Table 3.9.

Table 3.8 Analysis of Variance of the Desire for Additional Children

| Source of Variation | Sum of Square | df | Mean Square | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Signif. } \\ & \text { of } F \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 26.492 | 11 | 2.408 | 14.495 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mothers | 0.524 | 3 | 0.175 | 1.051 | 0.369 |
| Education of Mothers | 1.113 | 3 | 0.371 | 2.233 | 0.083 |
| Current Family Size | 14.923 | 3 | 4.974 | 29.939 | 0.000 |
| Labor Force Participation | 0.390 | 2 | 0.195 | 1.173 | 0.310 |
| Covariates | 0.348 | 3 | 0.116 | 0.699 | 0.553 |
| Family Income Per Month | 0.015 | 1 | 0.015 | 0.088 | 0.767 |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.135 | 1 | 0.135 | 0.815 | 0.367 |
| Family Type | 0.064 | 1 | 0.064 | 0.384 | 0.536 |
| Explained | 26.840 | 14 | 1.917 | 11.539 | 0.000 |
| Residual | 97.029 | 484 | 0.166 |  |  |
| Total | 123.869 | 598 | 0.207 |  |  |

## Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Family Income Per Month | -0.000 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.000 |
| Family Type | -0.025 |

Table 3.9 Multiple Classification Analysis of the Desire for Additional Children

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents <br> \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Age of Mothers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 152 | 0.16 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.04 |  |
| 25-29 | 199 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 30-34 | 144 | -0.04 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 35 years and over | 104 | -0.20 |  | -0.07 |  | -0.08 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.25 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |
| Education of Mothers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 years of primary or less | 61 | -0.08 |  | -0.01 |  | -0.01 |  |
| 4 years of primary | 331 | -0.03 |  | -0.02 |  | -0.02 |  |
| 5 years of primary to third year of secondary | 121 | 0.01 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.03 |  |
| 4 years of secondary | 86 | 0.16 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.11 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.16 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.10 |
| Number of Current Family Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 197 | 0.27 |  | 0.26 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 2 | 190 | -0.04 |  | -0.05 |  | -0.05 |  |
| 3 | 100 | -0.19 |  | -0.17 |  | -0.17 |  |
| 4 and over | 112 | -0.24 |  | -0.20 |  | -0.19 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.45 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.40 |
| Labor Force <br> Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 177 | 0.02 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.03 |  |
| At home | 125 | -0.04 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.05 |  |
| Non-working | 297 | 0.00 |  | -0.00 |  | -0.00 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.06 |
| Multiple R Squared Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.214 |  | 0.217 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.462 |  | 0.465 |

However, when the analysis is controlled for education, number of children, female labor force participation, desire for additional children declined below the average after women reached 35 years of age. When the analysis is further controlled for income and female labor force participation, the difference in desire for additional children is slightly accentuated among the mothers of different age groups.

Regarding mothers' educational attainment, data show mothers with lower educational attainment desire less for additional children than those with higher education. With control for age, number of children, and labor force participation, the relationship becomes a J-shaped curve. The pattern of the relationship remains the same with further control for family type and income variables.

With respect to the relationship between the number of children and desire for additional children, it has been found that desire for additional children becomes less as women have more children. The relationship between the two variables remains the same when the analysis is controlled for other independent variables and covariates.

Regarding the relationship between female labor force participation and desire for additional children, without any control for other variables, those who worked outside the home express the desire for additional children in a greater proportion than those who stayed or worked at home . However, when control is made for women's age, education, the number of children, family type and income variables, the opposite is true.

As desire for additional children is most likely to be related to the sex composition of children, the following analysis includes the number of boys and girls women already have as the independent variables. Analysis of variance shows the relationships between desire for additional children and the number of boys and girls are statistically significant (Table 3.10). But the number of boys is more significantly related to the desire for additional children than that of girls.

The result of the multiple classification analysis shows that mothers who had no boys wanted to have more children than the others. The desire for additional children declined as the number of boys increased up to three; thereafter the desire for additional children increased probably because girls became wanted. The relationship between the number of boys

Table 3.10 Analysis of Variance of the Desire for Additional Children

| Source of Variation | Sum of <br> Square | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Signif. <br> of $F$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 30.476 | 15 | 2.032 | 12.620 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mothers | 0.353 | 3 | 0.118 | 0.730 | 0.534 |
| Education of Mothers | 0.801 | 3 | 0.267 | 1.659 | 0.175 |
| Current Family Size | 2.401 | 3 | 0.800 | 4.972 | 0.002 |
| Number of Boys | 1.787 | 3 | 0.596 | 3.701 | 0.012 |
| Number of Girls | 1.313 | 3 | 0.438 | 2.318 | 0.044 |
| Covariates | 0.177 | 4 | 0.044 | 0.275 | 0.894 |
| Labor Force <br> Participation | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.927 |
| Family Income <br> Per Month | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.957 |
| Income Per Capita <br> Per Month | 0.044 | 1 | 0.044 | 0.272 | 0.602 |
| Family Type | 0.052 | 1 | 0.052 | 0.323 | 0.570 |
| Explained <br> Residual <br> Total | 30.654 | 19 | 1.613 | 10.021 | 0.000 |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

Labor Force Participation
Family Income Per Month
$-0.003$

Income Per Capita Per Month
Family Type
-0.022
and desire for additional children was somewhat less, when the analysis is controlled for other independent variables and covariates as shown in Table 3.11.

A similar relationship between women's desire for additional children and the number of girls has been found even when the analysis is controlled for other independent variables and the covariates. It could be inferred that the desire for additional children simply results from the need to balance the sex composition of children. It should also be noted that the inclusion of the variables on the sex composition of children better explains the variance of the dependent variables than their exclusion.

The technique of multiple classification analysis shows the pattern of the relationship between the dependent and each of the independent variables before and after the control for other independent variables and the covariates. However, it cannot provide an estimate of the dependent variables when there is a change in the value of the variable as does multiple regression analysis.

Among the variables included in the multiple regression analysis are mothers' age and education, the number of children, labor force participation, monthly family income and income per capita. Table 3.12 shows basic descriptive statistics of all the variables and their simple correlation coefficients. No single part of the variables are highly correlated. The relationship between monthly family income and monthly income per capita has a moderate coefficient of . 68. Data show that age is negatively correlated with education; desire for additional children negatively related to the number of children and labor force participation. There are positive correlations between education and desire for additional children, labor force participation, and income variables, but a negative correlation between education and family size. As the number of children increases, desire for additional children and income decrease and labor force participation increases. There is a positive correlation between labor force participation and the income variables.

Table 3.13 illustrates the result of the stepwise multiple regression. Among all the independent variables, the number of children is the most significant one related to desire for additional children, and they are negatively related. The next variables are income and age of mothers.

Table 3.11 Multiple Classification Analysis of the Desire for Additional Children
(Grand Mean $=0.29$ )


| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Number of Sons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 169 | 0.23 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.16 |  |
| 1 | 243 | 0.00 |  | -0.04 |  | -0.04 |  |
| 2 | 112 | -0.19 |  | -0.10 |  | -0.10 |  |
| 3 and over | 75 | -0.25 |  | -0.08 |  | -0.08 |  |
| Number of Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 163 | 0.10 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |  |
| 1 | 251 | 0.01 |  | -0.05 |  | -0.05 |  |
| 2 | 117 | -0.09 |  | -0.01 |  | -0.01 |  |
| 3 and over | 68 | -0.13 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.04 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.17 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.11 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.246 |  | 0.247 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.496 |  | 0.497 |

Table 3.12 Means, Standard Deviation, and Simple Correlation Coefficients of the

| Independent Variables | ME | NLC | DAC | TW | HIN | PIN | Means | Standard Deviation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA : Age of Mothers | -0.014 | 0.600 | -0.254 | 0.246 | 0.199 | 0.064 | 28.872 | 6.082 | 599 |
| ME : Education of Mothers |  | -0.278 | 0.148 | 0.225 | 0.429 | 0.396 | 5.912 | $3.966^{3}$ | 599 |
| NLC : Current Family Size |  |  | -0.368 | 0.099 | -0.043 | -0.265 | 2.437 | 1.912 | 599 |
| DAL : Desire for Additional Children |  |  |  | -0.009 | 0.045 | 0.178 | 0.292 | 0.455 | 599 |
| TW : Labor Force Participation |  |  |  |  | 0.284 | 0.208 | 0.504 | 0.500 | 599 |
| HIN : Family Income Per Month |  |  |  |  |  | 0.680 | 3749.748 | 2742.277 | 599 |
| PIN : Income Per Capita Per Month |  |  |  |  |  |  | 739.209 | 610.367 | 599 |

Table 3.13 Summary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Desire

| Independent Variable | $\underset{R}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Family Size | 0.368 | 0.136 | 0.136 | -0.368 | -0.077 | -0.271 | 0.015 | 26.164** |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.378 | 0.143 | 0.007 | 0.178 | 0.1D-03 | 0.134 | 0.4D-04 | 5.878** |
| Age of Mothers | 0.384 | 0.147 | 0.005 | -0.254 | -0.007 | -0.092 | 0.004 | 3.327* |
| Family Income Per Month | 0.385 | 0.148 | 0.001 | 0.045 | -0.1D-04 | -0.062 | 0.1D-04 | 1.250 |
| Education of Mothers | 0.387 | 0.150 | 0.001 | 0.148 | 0.005 | 0.040 | 0.005 | 0.804 |
| Labor Force Participation | 0.387 | 0.150 | 0.4D-03 | -0.009 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.037 | 0.273 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  | 0.606 |  |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.387 |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  | 17.410 |  |  |  |

** Level of significance at . 01

As income rises, desire for additional children also increases. However, as women's ages increase, their desire for additional children significantly decreases. These variables are not found to have any statistical significant relationship with the dependent variable.

## Female Labor Force Participation and Birth Control

The majority of the respondents, 63.9 percent, currently practiced birth control as shown in Table 3.14. Data also show that mothers who worked outside the home tended to practice birth control in a greater percentage than mothers who stayed or worked at home respectively. Further analysis, which includes age and education of mothers, the number of children, income, and family type, shows that the most significant variable among them is the number of living children, as shown in Table 3.14. Other variables are not significantly related to the practice of birth control.

Table 3.14 Fercentage Distribution of Women by Labor Force Participation and Birth Control Practice

| Birth Control Practice | Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | Statistical Test | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-Working |  |  |  |
|  | Out- <br> side | At home |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 66.5 | 61.9 | 63.1 | 63.9 | $x^{2}=0.82$ | 0.67 |
| Not Control | 33.5 | 38.1 | 36.9 | 36.1 | $C=0.04$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 182 | 126 | 317 | 625 |  |  |

The result of multiple classification analysis also shows that mothers under 25 years of age practiced birth control less than those who were older. However, after the age of 35 , the percentage of women practicing birth control declined; probably the majority of them had already achieved the desired family size, became infecund or their husbands had resorted to male fertility control methods. The pattern remains more or less the same when the analysis is controlled for other independent variables and covariates.

Table 3.15 Analysis of Variance of Birth Control Practice

| Source of Variation | Sum of Square | df | Mean Square | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Signif. } \\ & \text { of } F \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 11.744 | 11 | 1.068 | 5.004 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mother | 1.434 | 3 | 0.478 | 2.241 | 0.082 |
| Education of Mother | 1.456 | 3 | 0.485 | 2.275 | 0.079 |
| Current Family Size | 8.771 | 3 | 2.924 | 13.704 | 0.000 |
| Labor Force Participation | 0.168 | 2 | 0.084 | 0.393 | 0.675 |
| Covariates | 1.308 | 3 | 0.436 | 2.043 | 0.107 |
| Family Income Per Month | 0.289 | 1 | 0.289 | 1.356 | 0.245 |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.095 | 1 | 0.095 | 0.444 | 0.506 |
| Family Type | 0.140 | 1 | 0.140 | 0.655 | 0.419 |
| Explained | 13.052 | 14 | 0.932 | 4.370 | 0.000 |
| Residual | 127.163 | 596 | 0.213 |  |  |
| Total | 140.215 | 610 | 0.230 |  |  |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Family Income Per Month | 0.000 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.000 |
| Family Type | -0.036 |

Table 3.16 Multiple Classification Analysis of the Birth Control Practice
(Grand Mean $=0.65$ )

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 158 | -0.08 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 25-29 | 203 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 30-34 | 144 | 0.08 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 34-49 years | 106 | -0.03 |  | -0.12 |  | -0.13 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.13 |
| Education of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 years of primary or less | 63 | -0.10 |  | -0.14 |  | -0. 12 |  |
| 4 years of primary | 336 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 5 years of primary to third year of secondary | 123 | -0.00 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 4 years of secondary | 89 | 0.03 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.04 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.09 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 206 | -0.12 |  | -0.15 |  | -0.16 |  |
| 2 | 192 | -0.02 |  | -0.04 |  | -0.04 |  |
| 3 | 101 | 0.21 |  | 0.22 |  | 0.23 |  |
| 4 and over | 112 | 0.07 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.17 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.24 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.32 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nature of Labor Force } \\ & \text { Participation } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 181 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  |
| At home | 125 | -0.03 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.04 |  |
| Non-working | 305 | -0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.04 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.084 |  | 0.093 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.289 |  | 0.305 |

Table 3.17 Means, Standard Deviation, and Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Variables

| Independent Variables | ME | NLC | BCP | TWO | HIN | PIN | Means | Standard <br> Deviation | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MA : Age of Mother | -0.023 | 0.607 | 0.038 | 0.244 | 0.197 | 0.060 | 28.841 | 6.091 | 611 |
| ME $:$ Education of Mothers |  | -0.281 | 0.060 | 0.225 | 0.415 | 0.393 | 5.928 | 3.981 | 611 |
| NLC : Living Children |  |  | 0.118 | 0.108 | -0.035 | -0.259 | 2.416 | 1.606 | 611 |
| BCP : Birth Control Practice |  |  |  | 0.001 | 0.080 | 0.036 | 0.643 | 0.479 | 611 |
| TW : Labor Force Participation |  |  |  |  | 0.278 | 0.207 | 0.501 | 0.500 | 611 |
| HIN : Family Income Per Month |  |  |  |  |  | 0.676 | 3740.727 | 2736.296 | 611 |
| PIN : Income Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.18 Summary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Birth Control Practice

| Independent Variables | $\underset{\mathrm{R}}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Children | 0.118 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.118 | 0.064 | 0.216 | 0.017 | 14.676** |
| Education of Mothers | 0.153 | 0.023 | 0.009 | 0.060 | 0.011 | 0.091 | 0.006 | 3.740* |
| Age of Mothers | 0.165 | 0.027 | 0.004 | 0.038 | -0.007 | -0.094 | 0.004 | 3.093* |
| Family Income Per Month | 0.177 | 0.031 | 0.004 | 0.080 | 0.1D-04 | 0.060 | 0.1D-04 | 1.068 |
| Labor Force Participation | 0.181 | 0.033 | 0.002 | 0.001 | -0.041 | -0.042 | 0.041 | 0.967 |
| Income Per Capita Per Month | 0.182 | 0.033 | 0.4D-03 | 0.036 | $0.20-04$ | 0.030 | 0.5D-04 | 0.273 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  | 0.600 |  |  |  |
| valtiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.182 |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  | 3.460** |  |  |  |

** Level of significance at . 01

* Level of significance at . 05

With respect to the relationship between education and birth control practice, data also reveal that more educated women tend to practice birth control in a greater proportion than the less educated ones. The positive relationship between the two variables remaine more or less the same when other variables and covariates are controlled.

The percentage of women practicing birth control is also found to be related to the fertility measure even when other independent variables and covariates are controlled.

The result of multiple classification analysis with control for other independent variables and covariates also confirms earlier findings that mothers who worked outside the home practiced birth control in a greater proportion than those who stayed or worked at home.

An attempt has also been made to use multiple regression analysis to investigate which technique could better explain changes in the dependent variable. A matrix of simple correlation coefficients among the set of variables - age and education of women, number of children, labor force participation, and income is presented in Table 3.17. Most of the variables are not strongly correlated. Only the correlation coefficients between age of mothers and the number of children ( $r=.607$ ), and between the income variables are moderate ( $r=0.676$ ). The result of multiple regression analysis closely resembled that of multiple classification analysis. However, the model of multiple regression analysis better explains the variance of the dependent variable. The model is statistically significant at .01.

FEMAL: LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION, PRE-SCHOOL CHILD CARE AND FERTILITY

This chapter deals with the impact of female labor force participation and child care which includes the method and quality of childrearing. The method of childrearing encompasses the time spent with children and nutritional care. The quality of childrearing is measured by frequency of illness, physical health status, malnutritional status, emotional and social development and developmental problems which include eating, sleeping and toilet habits.

## Method of Childrearing

Data show that the great majority of mothers spent a great deal of time with their children; only a few did not. Working mothers generally have less time with children as compared with housewives and mothers who worked at home (Table 4.1). The difference is statistically significant, supporting the hypothesized relationship between female labor force participation and time spent on child care.

In addition, it has been found that the majority of fathers spent little time with their children. However, fathers in the families where mothers were working outside the home generally rendered help in childrearing more than those in families with non-working mothers.

## Illness and Physical Health of Children

Analysis of children's illness and physical health involves many variables that are considered to be significantly related to children's health. They are age of mother, number of live births, labor force participation, monthly household income, monthly income per capita, sex and age of children, vaccination, and mother's time with children. It has been revealed that the number of children is the most significant variable. Next to it is the age of children (Table 4.2).

Using Guttman's scaling technique, a child health index was constructed, Table 4.3 indicates that the great majority of children are in good health. Children of mothers aged 35 years and over least frequently fall ill, followed by those of mothers aged under 25 years.

Table 4.1 Percentage Distribution of Children by Labor Force Participation Statistics, Mother's Time Spent with Children, Father's Participation in Childrearing and Child Rearer

| Independent Variables | Labor Force Participation |  |  | Total | $x^{2}$ | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Working |  | Non-Working |  |  |  |
|  | Outside | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At } \\ & \text { home } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's time With Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A great deal | 37.3 | 73.6 | 94.5 | 74.4 | 237.81 | 0.0000 |
| Little | 59.9 | 25.0 | 5.3 | 24.4 |  |  |
| None | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 212 | 140 | 398 | 750 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Father's } \\ & \text { Participation } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A great deal | 33.8 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 25.4 | 12.58 | 0.01 |
| Only a little | 38.6 | 49.3 | 50.6 | 47.0 |  |  |
| None | 27.6 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 27.6 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 210 | 138 | 399 | 747 |  |  |
| Child Rearer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother | 55.4 | 90.8 | 96.5 | 83.8 | 173.94 | 0.0000 |
| Other | 44.6 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Number | 213 | 141 | 399 | 753 |  |  |

Table 4.2 Analysis of Variance of Children's Illness

| Source of Variation | Sum of Square | df | Mean Square | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Signif. } \\ & \text { of } F \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 89.720 | 13 | 6.902 | 4.087 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mother | 6.052 | 3 | 2.017 | 1.194 | 0.311 |
| Live births | 40.903 | 4 | 10.226 | 6.055 | 0.000 |
| Nature of Labor Force Participation | 5.448 | 2 | 2.724 | 1.613 | 0.200 |
| Monthly Household Income | 3.418 | 2 | 1.709 | 1.012 | 0.364 |
| Income Per Capita | 3.656 | 2 | 1.828 | 1.082 | 0.339 |
| Covariates | 141.206 | 4 | 113.301 | 65.311 | 0.000 |
| Children's Sex | 7.627 | 1 | 7.627 | 4.516 | 0.034 |
| Children's Age | 408.602 | 1 | 408.602 | 241.940 | 0.000 |
| Vaccination | 0.538 | 1 | 0.538 | 0.319 | 0.573 |
| Mother's Time with Children | 3.945 | 1 | 3.945 | 2.336 | 0.127 |
| Explained | 530.926 | 17 | 31.231 | 18.492 | 0.000 |
| Residual | 1210.912 | 717 | 1.689 |  |  |
| Total | 1741.838 | 734 | 2.373 |  |  |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Children's Sex | -0.206 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Children's Age | 0.041 |
| Vaccination | 0.087 |
| Mother's Time with Children | -0.104 |

Table 4.3 Multiple Classification Analysis of Children's Illness
(Grand Mean $=1.66)$

| Variable and Category | N | Onadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 181 | -0.24 |  | -0.07 |  | 0.11 |  |
| 25-29 | 249 | 0.06 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.13 |  |
| 30-34 | 183 | 0.11 |  | 0.04 |  | -0.09 |  |
| 35 years and over | 122 | 0.06 |  | -0.16 |  | -0.29 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.09 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.10 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 207 | -0.39 |  | -0.37 |  | -0.07 |  |
| 2 | 245 | 0.11 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.04 |  |
| 3 | 139 | -0.04 |  | -0.06 |  | -0.24 |  |
| 4 | 61 | 0.21 |  | 0.23 |  | 0.23 |  |
| 5 or more | 83 | 0.57 |  | 0.59 |  | 0.30 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.19 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.11 |
| Nature of Labor Force Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 212 | 0.08 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.05 |  |
| At home | 137 | 0.02 |  | -0.01 |  | -0.08 |  |
| Non-working | 386 | $-0.05$ |  | -0.08 |  | -0.00 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | cont'd |  |


| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Family Income Per Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US \$ 100 or less | 222 | 0.15 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.04 |  |
| 100-200 | 289 | -0.00 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 200 and over | 224 | -0.15 |  | -0.13 |  | -0.06 |  |
| Income Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US \$ 25 or less | 342 | 0.20 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.11 |  |
| 25-50 | 279 | -0.17 |  | -0.10 |  | -0.12 |  |
| 50 and over | 114 | -0.16 |  | 0.01 |  | -0.04 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.12 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.07 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.052 |  | 0.305 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.227 |  | 0.552 |

With respect to family size, children from families of six were more frequently ill and those from families of three were least frequently ill. In addition, mother's participation in the labor force generally had a negative impact on child health as compared to those who worked at home and those who were not employed. However, it has been found that monthly household income has a positive relationship with child health. As monthly household income increases, the frequency of child's illness becomes less, even when other independent variables are controlled. Unexpected is a curvilinear relationship between income per capita and child health. The frequency of children's illness is reduced as income per capita increases up to US $\$ 50$ per month but as it increases beyond US $\$ 50$, children are more frequently ill. A casual explanation for the relationship between the two variables obviously calls for further research.

Data show that sex of children also makes a statistically significant difference on children's illness. Boys are less frequently ill than girls, probably because they were better taken care of than girls. The findings are consistent with the nature of the patriarchal society of Thailand.

The following section presents the multiple regression analysis of children's illness and other factors affecting it. The independent variables involved in the analysis are age and education of mother, number of children, boys and girls, mother's typical illness, mother's monthly income, monthly household income, mother's length of working experience, number of childrea under six years of age, number of household members, monthly income per capita, social and familial habits of fathers, length of gestation, duration of breastfeeding, child's age, mother's closeness to children.

Table 4.4 presents the means, standard deviations, and simple correlation coefficients of all the variables involved in the multiple regression analysis. Most variables are lowly correlated with one another.

The length of mothers' working experience, age of mother, length of breastfeeding, number of family members, and monthly household income, family size and father's social and familial habits were negatively related to child's illness as expected.

The findings could be interpreted as follows: As women age they become more experienced in childrearing and they probably also have more
Table 4.4 Means, Standard Deviation, and Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Variables

| Variable | CIL | MA | ME | NLB | NS | ND | MIL | MIN | HIM | WE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIL : Children's Illness | 1.000 | 0.084 | -0.105 | 0.184 | 0.134 | 0.098 | 0.120 | -0.026 | -0.070 | -0.010 |
| MA : Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ME : Education of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NLB : Number of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NS : Number of Boys |  |  | 0.010 | 0.564 | 0.439 | 0.354 | 0.043 | 0.268 | 0.218 | 0.391 |
| ND : Number of Girls |  |  |  | -0.266 | -0.178 | -0.178 | -0.085 | 0.368 | 0.443 | 0.312 |
| MIL : Mother's Typical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illness |  |  |  |  |  | 0.715 | 0.641 | 0.100 | 0.014 | -0.042 |

cont'd

| Variable | NCO6 | NHM | FT | PIN | FSFB | DEIT | BL | CA | MH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIL : Children's Illness | 0.089 | 0.062 | -0.065 | -0.079 | 0.073 | -0.018 | 0.055 | 0.518 | -0.118 |
| MA : Age of Mother | 0.085 | 0.161 | -0.080 | 0.064 | -0.006 | 0.035 | 0.091 | 0.270 | -0.063 |
| ME : Education of Mother | -0.033 | -0.035 | 0.177 | 0.388 | -0.147 | -0.095 | -0.233 | -0.096 | -0.059 |
| NLB : Number of Live Birth | 0.350 | 0.380 | -0.106 | -0.275 | 0.134 | 0.061 | 0.207 | 0.272 | -0.064 |
| NS : Number of Boys | 0.174 | 0.268 | -0.048 | -0.206 | 0.085 | 0.034 | 0.138 | 0.188 | -0.040 |
| ND : Number of Girls | 0.304 | 0.253 | -0.091 | -0.166 | 0.098 | 0.044 | 0.148 | 0.174 | -0.051 |
| MIL : Mother's Typical Illness | -0.022 | 0.005 | -0.010 | -0.080 | 0.050 | -0.093 | -0.054 | 0.066 | . 016 |
| MIN : Mother's Monthly Income | -0.132 | 0.062 | 0.144 | 0.430 | -0.149 | -0.015 | -0.116 | 0.065 | 0.162 |
| HIN : Monthly Household Income | 0.015 | 0.265 | 0.275 | 0.640 | -0.188 | -0.040 | -0.159 | 0.004 | 0.054 |
| WD : Mother's length of Working Experience | -0.088 | 0.111 | 0.130 | 0.227 | -0.118 | -0.001 | -0.091 | 0.099 | 0.187 |
| NCO6 : Number of Children under 7 years of age |  | 0.237 | 0.045 | -0.160 | -0,041 | -0.030 | 0.061 | 0.117 | 0.010 |
| NHM : Number of Household Members |  |  | 0.535 | -0.278 | 0.070 | 0.023 | 0.047 | 0.046 | -0.008 |
| FT : Type of Family |  |  |  | -0.073 | 0.080 | -0.010 | -0.045 | -0.130 | -0.006 |
| PIN : Monthly Income Per Capita |  |  |  |  | -0.164 | -0.027 | -0.162 | -0.063 | -0.060 |
| FSFB : Social and Familial Habits of Fathers |  |  |  |  |  | 0.058 | 0.064 | -0.043 | 0.068 |
| DELI : Length of Gestation |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.121 | 0.0010 | 0.022 |
| BL : Duration of Breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.160 | -0.028 |
| CA : Child's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.110 |
| MC : Mother's Closeness to Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.000 |
| Means | 1.581 | 5.867 | 0.403 | 711.234 | 1.865 | 0.952 | 6.778 | 28.010 | 0.802 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.655 | 2.834 | 0.491 | 577.613 | 1.124 | 0.215 | 8.982 | 19.183 | 0.764 |

Table 4.5 Summary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Children's Illness

| Independent Variable | Multiple <br> $R$ | $R^{2}$ | $R^{2}$ <br> Change | Simple <br> $R$ | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cnildren's Age | 0.518 | 0.268 | 0.268 | 0.518 | 0.042 | 0.519 | 0.003 | $220.623^{* *}$ |
| Social and Familial Habits <br> of Fathers | 0.526 | 0.277 | 0.009 | 0.073 | 0.110 | 0.079 | 0.047 | $5.382 * *$ |
| Mother's Typical Illness | 0.533 | 0.284 | 0.006 | 0.120 | 0.251 | 0.072 | 0.116 | $4.690^{* *}$ |
| Mother's Closeness to | 0.537 | 0.289 | 0.005 | -0.118 | -0.161 | -0.080 | 0.068 | $5.710 * *$ |
| Children | 0.542 | 0.293 | 0.005 | -0.010 | -0.018 | -0.046 | 0.015 | 1.344 |
| Mother's Length of Working | 0.543 | 0.295 | 0.002 | 0.084 | -0.017 | -0.064 | 0.012 | $2.034 *$ |
| Bxperience | 0.546 | 0.298 | 0.004 | 0.184 | 0.302 | 0.316 | 0.214 | 1.998 |
| Age of Mother | 0.548 | 0.300 | 0.002 | 0.055 | -0.008 | -0.047 | 0.006 | 1.860 |
| Number of Live Births | 0.549 | 0.301 | 0.001 | 0.098 | -0.288 | -0.203 | 0.223 | 1.661 |
| Suration of Breastfeeding | 0.550 | 0.302 | 0.001 | 0.134 | -0.242 | -0.188 | 0.223 | 1.180 |


| Independent Variable | $\underset{R}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Household Members | 0.550 | 0.303 | 0.001 | 0.062 | 0.040 | 0.074 | 0.028 | 2.118* |
| Monthly Household Income | 0.551 | 0.304 | 0.001 | -0.070 | -0.5D-04 | -0.087 | 0.3D-04 | 2.284* |
| Monthly Income Per Capita | 0.553 | 0.306 | 0.002 | -0.079 | 0.1D-03 | 0.071 | 0.10-03 | 1.710* |
| Number of Children Under 6 Years of age | 0.553 | 0.306 | 0.2D-03 | 0.089 | 0.042 | 0.018 | 0.086 | 0.235 |
| Length of Gestation | 0.553 | 0.306 | 0.2D-03 | -0.018 | -0.098 | -0.014 | 0.238 | 0.170 |
| Education of Mother | 0.554 | 0.306 | 0.6D-04 | -0.105 | -0.003 | -0.009 | 0.015 | 0.048 |
| Type of Family | 0.554 | 0.306 | 0.4D-04 | -0.065 | -0.027 | -0.009 | 0.137 | 0.039 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  | 0.673 |  |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.554 |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  | 17.204** |  |  |  |

**Level of significance at . 01
*Level of significance at . 05
children. As for the negative relationship between working experience and child's illness, it could be interpreted that there is a conflict between female labor force participation and motherhood. This is also confirmed by a negative regression coefficient between child's illness and the amount of time spent with children. This is expected particularly among the urban Thai women as most of them live in nuclear families, the majority of which have no close relatives living with them. The length of breastfeeding related negatively to child's illness. This is probably due to the lower socio-economic status of those mothers who breastfeed the children for a longer time. They are most likely to have lower education and less income, thus less knowledge and financial ability for childrearing. This is confirmed by a negative regression coefficient between monthly household income and child's illness. The level of fertility also has a significant positive impact on child's illness. Children in a family with a larger number of boys or girls are likely to be ill more frequently than those in a smaller family. It is interesting to note that father's social and familial habits also have a significant impact on child's illness. Children with fathers who share in childrearing and household chores are healthier than those with fathers who do not participate in them.

## Child Malnutrition

In addition to illness, the study also includes analysis of child malnutrition. Data show that 44.2 percent of Bangkok children, based on the Bangkok standard of malnutrition as measured by height relative to age, suffered from malnutrition. When malnutrition is measured by weight relative to age, about 30.2 percent of children were malnutritional. There are factors related to malnutrition and child development. They should therefore be brought into consideration. They are mother's age, size of family, labor force participation status, type of family, sex of children, pre-natal care and duration of breastfeeding.

## Malnutrition as Measured by Relative Height and Age

Analysis of variance indicates that mother's age, labor force status, child's age and sex, pre-natal care and duration of breastfeeding are all significantly related to malnutrition, as shown in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Analysis of Variance of Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Height and Age

| Source of Variation | Sum of <br> Square | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Signif. <br> of F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 21.444 | 14 | 1.532 | 7.022 | 0.000 |
| Age of Mother | 4.248 | 3 | 1.416 | 6.491 | 0.000 |
| Number of Children | 6.494 | 4 | 1.624 | 7.444 | 0.000 |
| Nature of Labor <br> Force Participation <br> Children's Age | 1.379 | 2 | 0.690 | 3.161 | 0.043 |
| Covariates | 10.625 | 5 | 2.125 | 9.743 | 0.000 |
| Type of Family | 3.739 | 4 | 0.935 | 4.286 | 0.002 |
| Children's Sex | 0.293 | 1 | 0.293 | 1.342 | 0.247 |
| Pre-natal Care | 1.737 | 1 | 1.737 | 7.963 | 0.005 |
| Duration of <br> Breastfeeding | 0.879 | 1 | 0.879 | 4.028 | 0.045 |
| Explained | 1.015 | 1 | 1.015 | 4.653 | 0.031 |
| Residual <br> Total | 25.183 | 18 | 1.399 | 6.414 | 0.000 |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Type of Family | -0.042 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Children's Sex | -0.099 |
| Pre-natal Care | -0.131 |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 0.004 |

Table 4.7 Multiple Classification Analysis of Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Height and Age (Grand Mean $=0.44$ )

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 184 | 0.06 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |  |
| 25-29 | 245 | -0.01 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 30-34 | 181 | -0.06 |  | -0.11 |  | -0.11 |  |
| 35 years and over | 122 | 0.02 |  | -0.06 |  | -0.07 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.09 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.19 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 213 | -0.06 |  | -0.08 |  | -0.08 |  |
| 2 | 243 | 0.03 |  | -0.01 |  | -0.00 |  |
| 3 | 134 | -0.10 |  | -0.06 |  | 0.07 |  |
| 4 | 61 | 0.23 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.28 |  |
| 5 or more | 81 | 0.09 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.11 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.18 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.21 |
| Nature of Labor <br> Force Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 209 | 0.02 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.04 |  |
| At home | 138 | -0.07 |  | -0.09 |  | -0.09 |  |
| Non-working | 385 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.09 |
| Children's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 months or less | 174 | -0.19 |  | -0.19 |  | -0.17 |  |
| 12-23 | 171 | -0.03 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.03 |  |
| 24-35 | 144 | 0.10 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.09 |  |
| 36-47 | 108 | 0.16 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.15 |  |
| 48-59 | 75 | 0.10 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.09 |  |
| 60-83 | 60 | -0.03 |  | 0.01 |  | -0.01 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.25 |  | 0.25 |  | 0.23 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.119 |  | 0.139 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.344 |  | 0.373 |

Table 4.8 Analysis of Variance of Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Weight and Age

| Source of Variation | Sum of <br> Square | df | Mean <br> Square | F | Signif <br> of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main effects | 7.027 | 14 | 0.502 | 2.472 | 0.002 |
| Age of Mother | 3.744 | 3 | 1.248 | 6.146 | 0.000 |
| Number of Children | 2.299 | 4 | 0.575 | 2.829 | 0.024 |
| Nature of Labor <br> Force Participation <br> Children's Age | 0.837 | 2 | 0.418 | 2.060 | 0.128 |
| Covariates | 1.043 | 5 | 0.209 | 1.027 | 0.401 |
| Type of Family | 3.617 | 4 | 0.904 | 4.452 | 0.001 |
| Children's Sex | 0.275 | 1 | 0.275 | 1.355 | 0.245 |
| Pre-natal Care | 1.595 | 1 | 1.595 | 7.852 | 0.005 |
| Duration of <br> Breastfeeding | 0.982 | 1 | 0.982 | 4.834 | 0.028 |

Covariate Raw Regression Coefficient

| Type of Family | -0.041 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Children's Sex | -0.094 |
| Pre-natal Care | -0.138 |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 0.004 |

Table 4.9 Maltiple Classification Analysis of Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Weight and Age
(Grand Mean $=0.31$ )

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents <br> \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 years or less | 184 | 0.10 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |  |
| 25-29 | 245 | -0.01 |  | -0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| 30-34 | 181 | -0.06 |  | -0.08 |  | -0.09 |  |
| 35 years and over | 122 | -0.03 |  | -0.07 |  | -0.08 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.13 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.19 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 213 | -0.03 |  | -0.08 |  | -0.07 |  |
| 2 | 243 | 0.04 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.03 |  |
| 3 | 134 | -0.05 |  | -0.02 |  | -0.02 |  |
| 4 | 61 | -0.01 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.06 |  |
| 5 or more | 81 | 0.06 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.11 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.09 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.13 |
| Nature of Labor Force Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 209 | 0.00 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.04 |  |
| At home | 138 | -0.07 |  | -0.07 |  | -0.07 |  |
| Non-working | 385 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |
| Children's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 months or less | 174 | -0.06 |  | -0.06 |  | -0.04 |  |
| 12-23 | 171 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| 24-35 | 144 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 36-47 | 108 | 0.05 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.04 |  |
| 48-59 | 75 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.02 |  |
| 60-83 | 60 | -0.02 |  | 0.01 |  | -0.01 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.06 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.045 |  | 0.068 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.213 |  | 0.262 |

The result of multiple classification analysis shows that children who belonged to younger mothers tend to suffer from malnutrition in a large number than those who belonged to the older mothers. Data also show that family size is positively related to malnutrition. Children who belonged to large families tended to suffer more than those from small families. One child children were the least to suffer from malnutrition.

With respect to female labor force participation, it has been found that children whose mothers worked at home suffered least from malnutrition, followed by non-working and working mothers respectively. Data obviously confirm the general hypothesis on the conflicting roles of motherhood and women's career.

Age of children is also another variable that is found to be significantly related to malnutrition. It is rather unexpected to find that children under one year of age are the least to suffer from malnutrition as they are the most vulnerable group. However, it could be due to the fact that they have been given special care and attention by their parents. Malnutrition increases with the age of children but only up to four years of age. Thereafter, it declines. This is expected and consistent with other medical findings in the country.

## Malnutrition as Measured by Relative Weight and Age

Regarding malnutrition as measured by weight and age and factors affecting it, it has been found that only 31 percent of Bangkok children suffer from it as compared to 44 percent when it is measured by height and age. Data also show that age of mother, family size, sex of a child, pre-natal care and duration of breastfeeding are significantly related to malnutrition. The findings can be similarly interpreted as in the previous section. It is interesting to note that despite a moderate preference for boys in the Thai patrilineal society, boys tend to suffer from malnutrition more than girls. This could be due to the process of socialization which encourages boys to be more active than girls. Given the same amount of food, boys would tend to suffer more from malnutrition. However, this is a question that needs more research.

Although the use of multiple classification analysis is most appropriate for the set of the variables used in the previous sections, there are other variables that should be included in the analysis. As many of these variables are measured in an interval scale, the technique of multiple regression is adopted. The means, standard deviations of these variables, and their simple correlation coefficients are shown in Table 4.10 and 4.11.

It has been found that even though more variables have been included, and therefore their variances are controlled and separated from other variables, the age and sex of a child, mother's age, and number of family members are still found to be significantly related to child malnutrition. The results are shown in Table 4.12 for the analysis of malnutrition based on relative height and age, and in Table 4.13 for relative weight and age, respectively.

It should be noted that the findings are consistent with the findings based on analysis of variance and multiple classification analysis in the previous sections. The control for additional variables does not change the findings. The results can therefore be similarly interpreted,

## Children's Emotional and Social Development

With respect to children's social and emotional development, a large number of variables that could theoretically be related to child malnutrition are included as independent as well as control variables. They are mother's education, family size, monthly income per capita, father's social and familial behavior, term of delivery, child's age, child care closeness to mothers, age of mother, number of sons and daughters, mother's common illness, number of children under seven years of age, number of family members, type of family, monthly household expenditure and duration of breastfeeding. The means, standard deviations and simple correlation coefficients of these variables are shown in Table 4.14. The coefficients indicate low correlation between the variables.

Bata interestingly reveal that the most significant variable related to child's social and emotional development is father's social and familial habits (Table 4.15). Children whose fathers have low responsibility are slower in their development than those with more responsible fathers. Also

## Table 4.10 Means and Standard Deviation of the Variables Used in Multiple Regression Analysis of Malnutrition

| Variables | Means | Standard <br> Deviation | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Height and Age | 0.667 | 0.878 | 676 |
| Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Weight and Age | 0.364 | 0.604 | 676 |
| Mother's Age | 28.744 | 5.902 | 676 |
| Mother's Education | 6.111 | 4.017 | 676 |
| Number of Children | 2.506 | 1.573 | 676 |
| Number of Household Members | 5.855 | 2.837 | 676 |
| Type of Family | 0.401 | 0.490 | 676 |
| Monthly Household Income | 3743.180 | 2757.735 | 676 |
| Monthly Per Capita Income | 720.948 | 592.460 | 676 |
| Social and Familial Habits of of Fathers | 1.854 | 1.136 | 676 |
| Mother's Typical Illness | 0.265 | 0.442 | 576 |
| Mother's Closeness to Children | 0.805 | 0.764 | 676 |
| Take Care of Pregnancy | 0.933 | 0.250 | 576 |
| Length of Gestation | 0.953 | 0.212 | 676 |
| Children's Sex | 0.488 | 0.500 | 676 |
| Children's Age | 27.306 | 18.472 | 676 |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 6.771 | 8.989 | 676 |

Table 4.11 Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Variables Used in Multiple Regression Analysis of Malnutrition

| Variables | M ${ }^{\text {Na }}$ | MA | ME | NLC | NHM | FT | HIN | PIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHA : MaInutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Height and Age | 0.466 | -0.060 | -0.095 | 0.105 | 0.061 | -0.054 | -0.087 | -0.109 |
| MHA : Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Weight and Age |  | -0.067 | -0.059 | 0.072 | 0.007 | -0.033 | -0.088 | -0.086 |
| MA : Mother's Age |  |  | 0.018 | 0.578 | 0.157 | -0.084 | 0.233 | 0.086 |
| ME : Mother's Education |  |  |  | -0.262 | -0.042 | 0.169 | 0.456 | 0.397 |
| NLC : Number of Children |  |  |  |  | 0.375 | -0.104 | -0.038 | -0.271 |
| NHM : Number of Household Members |  |  |  |  |  | 0.536 | 0.252 | -0.279 |
| FT : Type of Family |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.258 | -0.082 |
| HIN : Monthly Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.652 |

cont'd
Table 4.11 Simple Correlation Coefficients of the Variables Used in Multiple Regression Analysis of Malnutrition

| Variables | MIN | FSFB | MIL | MC | PC | DELT | cs | CA | BL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHA : Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Height and Age | -0.074 | -0.021 | -0.032 | 0.0001 | -0.061 | 0.034 | -0.119 | 0.144 | 0.102 |
| M: A : Malnutrition as Measured by the Standard of Relative Weight and Age | -0.063 | 0.017 | -0.012 | -0.067 | -0.045 | 0.054 | -0.098 | -0.002 | 0.093 |
| MA : Age of Mother | 0.272 | -0.011 | 0.047 | -0.058 | -0.115 | 0.033 | -0.037 | 0.281 | 0.091 |
| ME : Education of Mother | 0.370 | -0.140 | -0.080 | -0.049 | 0.121 | -0.100 | -0.002 | -0.087 | -0.244 |
| NLC : Number of Children | 0.002 | 0.114 | 0.108 | -0.062 | -0.140 | 0.063 | -0.047 | 0.257 | 0.217 |
| NHM : Number of Household Members | 0.041 | 0.065 | 0.014 | -0.010 | -0.020 | 0.020 | -0.034 | 0.043 | 0.053 |
| FT : Type of Family | 0.127 | 0.079 | 0.002 | -0.012 | 0.025 | -0.017 | -0.044 | -0.139 | -0.043 |
| HIN : Monthly Household Income | 0.521 | -0.188 | -0.090 | -0.054 | 0.036 | -0.044 | -0.024 | 0.030 | -0.158 |
| PIN : Monthly Per Capita Income | 0.430 | -0.165 | -0.060 | -0.058 | 0.020 | -0.029 | 0.037 | -0.030 | -0.161 |
| MIN : Mother's Monthly Income |  | -0.158 | -0.003 | -0.163 | -0.030 | -0.018 | -0.076 | 0.085 | -0.113 |
| PSFB : Social and Familial Habits of Fathers |  |  | 0.039 | 0.071 | -0.020 | 0.063 | 0.053 | -0.081 | 0.061 |
| MIL : Mother's Typical Illness |  |  |  | 0.017 | -0.015 | -0.087 | -0.076 | 0.048 | -0.054 |
| MC : Mother's Closeness to Children |  |  |  |  | 0.064 | 0.025 | 0.017 | -0.107 | -0.028 |
| PC : Pre-natal Care |  |  |  |  |  | -0.032 | -0.012 | -0.117 | -0.059 |
| DELT : Length of Gestation |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.118 |
| CS : Children's Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.052 | -0.001 |
| CA : Children's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.165 |

Table 4.12 Summary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Malnutrition as

| Independent Variable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multiple } \\ R \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} R^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Std. Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children's Age | 0.144 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.144 | 0.006 | 0.136 | 0.002 | 11.388** |
| Children's Sex | 0.182 | 0.033 | 0.012 | -0.119 | -0.208 | -0.118 | 0.066 | 9.894** |
| Mother's Age | 0.211 | 0.045 | 0.012 | -0.060 | -0.028 | -0.188 | 0.008 | 13.957** |
| Number of Children | 0.259 | 0.067 | 0.022 | 0.105 | 0.071 | 0.128 | 0.031 | 5.298** |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 0.265 | 0.070 | 0.003 | 0.102 | 0.005 | 0.049 | 0.004 | 1.539 |
| Mother's Typical Illness | 0.271 | 0.073 | 0.003 | -0.032 | -0.104 | -0.052 | 0.076 | 1.896 |
| Take Care of Pregnancy | 0.274 | 0.075 | 0.002 | -0.061 | -0.158 | -0.045 | 0.133 | 1.399 |
| Type of Family | 0.277 | 0.076 | 0.001 | -0.054 | -0.119 | -0.066 | 0.088 | 1.824 |
| Number of Household Members | 0.281 | 0.079 | 0.003 | 0.061 | 0.024 | 0.078 | 0.016 | 2.176* |
| Monthly Household Income | 0.283 | 0.080 | 0.001 | -0.087 | -0.1D-04 | -0.039 | 0.2D-04 | 0.665 |
| Social and Familial Habits of Fathers | 0.285 | 0.081 | 0.001 | -0.021 | -0.026 | -0.034 | 0.030 | 0.771 |
| Length of Gestation | 0.286 | 0.082 | 0.4D-03 | 0.034 | 0.079 | 0.019 | 0.156 | 0.258 |
| Mother's Closeness to Children | 0.286 | 0.082 | 0.3D-03 | 0.1D-03 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 0.044 | 0.174 |
| Mother's Monthly Income | 0.287 | 0.082 | 0.2D-03 | -0.074 | -0.1D-04 | -0.017 | O.3D-04 | 0.143 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  | 1.284 |  |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.287 |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  | 4.236** |  |  |  |

[^9]*Level of significance at . 05

| Independent Variable | $\underset{R}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children's Sex | 0.098 | 0.010 | 0.010 | -0.098 | -0.124 | -0.103 | 0.046 | 7.222** |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 0.136 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.093 | 0.004 | 0.068 | 0.003 | 2.804 |
| Mother's Age | 0.157 | 0.025 | 0.006 | -0.067 | -0.015 | -0.147 | 0.005 | 8.176** |
| Number of Children | 0.195 | 0.038 | 0.013 | 0.072 | 0.052 | 0.136 | 0.022 | 5.575** |
| Mother's Closeness to Children | 0.205 | 0.042 | 0.004 | -0.067 | -0.054 | -0.069 | 0.031 | 3.156** |
| Length of Gestation | 0.210 | 0.044 | 0.002 | 0.054 | 0.125 | 0.044 | 0.110 | 1.299 |
| Monthly Household Income | 0.214 | 0.046 | 0.002 | -0.088 | -0.4D-05 | -0.019 | 0.2D-04 | 0.076 |
| Take Care of Pregnancy | 0.217 | 0.047 | 0.001 | -0.045 | -0.097 | -0.040 | 0.094 | 1.063 |
| Type of Family | 0.218 | 0.048 | 0.001 | -0.033 | -0.033 | -0.027 | 0.062 | 0.288 |
| Children's Age | 0.219 | 0.048 | 0.5D-03 | -0.002 | -0.7D-03 | -0.023 | 0.001 | 0.308 |
| Mother's Typical Illness | 0.220 | 0.048 | 0.4D-03 | -0.012 | -0.026 | -0.019 | 0.053 | 0.235 |
| ERucation of Mother | 0.221 | 0.049 | 0.4D-03 | -0.059 | 0.004 | 0.028 | 0.007 | 0.334 |
| Mother's Monthly Income | 0.222 | 0.049 | 0.3D-03 | -0.063 | -0.9D-05 | -0.020 | 0.2D-04 | 0.177 |
| Monthly Per Capita Income | 0.222 | 0.049 | 0.1D-03 | -0.086 | -0.2D-04 | -0.022 | 0.7D-04 | 0.112 |
| Number of Household Members | 0.222 | 0.049 | 0.7D-04 | 0.007 | -0.003 | -0.013 | 0.013 | 0.049 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  | 0.784 |  |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.222 |  |  |  |
| $F$ |  |  |  |  | 2.282** |  |  |  |


| Variable | CEP | CFP | MA | ME | NLC | NS | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CESI : Social and Emotional Developnent | 0.108 | -0.026 | 0.081 | -0.183 | 0.083 | 0.089 | -0.021 |
| CEPP : Problem of Social and Emotional Development |  | 0.204 | 0.046 | -0.087 | 0.032 | 0.056 | -0.018 |
| CFP : Problem of the Development of Eating, Sleeping and Toileting |  |  | -0.080 | 0.119 | -0.141 | -0.116 | -0.077 |
| MA : Age of Mother |  |  |  | -0.098 | 0.553 | 0.499 | 0.249 |
| ME : Education of Mother |  |  |  |  | -0.353 | -0.187 | -0.311 |
| NLC : Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  | 0.742 | 0.633 |
| NS : Number of Son |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.048 |

cont'd

| Variable | MIL | MIN | HIN | WD | NCU? | NHM | FT | HEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CESI : Social and Emotional Development | 0.083 | 0.192 | -0.195 | 0.153 | -0.066 | -0.018 | 0.121 | 0.168 |
| CEP : Problem of Social and Emotional Development | 0.091 | 0.078 | -0.073 | 0.078 | -0.033 | -0.007 | 0.014 | 0.032 |
| CFP : Problem of the Development of Eating, Sleeping and Toileting | 0.025 | 0.053 | 0.053 | -0.011 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 0.091 | 0.098 |
| MA : Mother's Age | 0.064 | 0.256 | 0.193 | 0.381 | -0.115 | 0.305 | -0.014 | 0.164 |
| ME : Mother's Education | -0.115 | 0.366 | 0.419 | 0.376 | -0.100 | -0.124 | 0.184 | 0.278 |
| NLC : Number of Living Children | 0.098 | 0.063 | -0.040 | 0.130 | 0.193 | 0.605 | -0.044 | 0.040 |
| NS : Number of Sons | 0.144 | 0.106 | 0.001 | 0.204 | 0.027 | 0.419 | -0.044 | 0.052 |
| ND : Number of Daughters | -0.024 | -0.028 | -0.061 | -0.043 | 0.257 | 0.419 | -0.015 | -0.001 |
| MIL : Mother's Typical Illness |  | -0.058 | -0.097 | -0.010 | -0.029 | 0.041 | -0.007 | -0.103 |
| MIN : Mother's Monthly Income |  |  | 0.558 | 0.667 | -0.219 | 0.122 | 0.142 | 0.391 |
| HIN : Monthly Household Income |  |  |  | 0.455 | -0.016 | 0.214 | 0.294 | 0.755 |
| WD : Mother's Length of Working Experience |  |  |  |  | -0.170 | 0.201 | 0.193 | 0.318 |
| NOU7 : Number of Children under 7 Years |  |  |  |  |  | 0.212 | 0.062 | 0.012 |
| NHM : Number of Household Members |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.481 | 0.215 |
| FT : Type of Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.222 |


| Variable | FSFB | DELT | BL | CA | MC | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CESI : Social and Emotional Development | 0.287 | 0.111 | -0.018 | -0.097 | -0.071 | 2.455 | 1.919 | 213 |
| CEP : Problem of Social and Emotional Development | 0.231 | 0.066 | -0.016 | -0.120 | -0.140 | 3.488 | 2.064 | 213 |
| CFP : Problem of the Development of Eating, Sleeping and Toileting | 0.039 | -0.160 | -0.040 | 0.011 | -0.009 | 1.920 | 1.045 | 213 |
| MA : Mother's Age | -0.028 | 0.097 | 0.121 | 0.110 | -0.4D-03 | 30.516 | 5.619 | 213 |
| ME : Education of Mother | -0.259 | -0.161 | -0.255 | -0.028 | -0.217 | 5.418 | 3.759 | 213 |
| NLC : Number of Living Children | 0.241 | 0.140 | 0.284 | 0.044 | -0.081 | 3.070 | 1.783 | 213 |
| NS : Number of Sons | 0.172 | 0.110 | 0.214 | 0.041 | -0.093 | 1.559 | 1.381 | 213 |
| ND : Number of Daughters | 0.161 | 0.082 | 0.177 | 0.018 | -0.013 | 1.512 | 1.196 | 213 |
| MIL : Mother's Typical Illness | 0.036 | -0.108 | 0.013 | 0.084 | 0.034 | 0.329 | 0.471 | 213 |
| MIN : Mother's Monthly Income | -0.182 | 0.005 | -0.143 | -0.086 | -0.308 | 1034.808 | 1319.144 | 213 |
| HIN : Monthly Household Income | -0.181 | -0.044 | -0.228 | -0.054 | -0.146 | 3575.446 | 2404.925 | 213 |

Table 4.15 Summary of the Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis of the Social and

| Independent Variable | $\underset{R}{\text { Multiple }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{R}{\text { Simple }}$ | B | Beta | Sta. <br> Error B | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social and Familial Habits of Fathers | 0.287 | 0.082 | 0.082 | 0.287 | 0.410 | 0.245 | 0.126 | 10.604** |
| Monthly Household Expenditure | 0.323 | 0.104 | 0.022 | 0.168 | 0.1D-03 | 0.110 | 0.9D-04 | 2.215 |
| Mother's Typical Illness | 0.341 | 0.116 | 0.012 | 0.083 | 0.451 | 0.110 | 0.272 | 2.744* |
| Type of Family | 0.357 | 0.128 | 0.011 | 0.121 | 0.469 | 0.114 | 0.357 | 0.731 |
| Number of Children Under <br> 6 Years | 0.370 | 0.137 | 0.009 | -0.066 | -0.170 | -0.061 | 0.207 | 0.671 |
| Duration of Breastfeeding | 0.380 | 0.144 | 0.008 | -0.018 | -0.028 | -0.134 | 0.015 | 3.600** |
| Children's Age | 0.388 | 0.150 | 0.006 | -0.097 | -0.014 | -0.082 | 0.012 | 0.543 |
| Number of Boys | 0.395 | 0.156 | 0.006 | 0.089 | 0.102 | 0.073 | 0.140 | 0.527 |
| Mother's Monthly Income | 0.406 | 0.164 | 0.008 | 0.192 | 0.2D-03 | 0.141 | 0.1D-03 | 2.258* |
| Mother's Closeness to Children | 0.416 | 0.173 | 0.009 | -0.071 | -0.414 | -0.103 | 0.290 | 2.046* |


| Independent Variable | Multiple <br> R | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ <br> Change | Simple <br> R | B | Beta | Std. <br> Error B | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of Gestation | 0.422 | 0.178 | 0.005 | 0.111 | 0.605 | 0.070 | 0.591 | 1.050 |
| Education of Mother | 0.426 | 0.182 | 0.003 | -0.183 | -0.047 | -0.093 | 0.042 | 1.274 |
| Mother's Age | 0.429 | 0.184 | 0.002 | 0.081 | 0.018 | 0.053 | 0.030 | 0.355 |
| Mother's Length of Working <br> Experience | 0.430 | 0.185 | 0.00 | 0.153 | 0.024 | 0.054 | 0.044 | 0.293 |
| Number of Girls | 0.431 | 0.186 | 0.001 | -0.021 | -0.077 | -0.048 | 0.151 | 0.262 |
| Number of Household <br> Members | 0.432 | 0.186 | $0.4 \mathrm{D}-03$ | -0.018 | 0.029 | 0.034 | 0.093 | 0.096 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Level of significance at . 01

Table 4.16 Multiple Classification Analysis of the Problems of Social and Emotional Development
(Grand Mean $=3.45$ )

| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents <br> \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Education of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 years of primary or less | 28 | 0.15 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.17 |  |
| 4 years of primary | 134 | 0.03 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.11 |  |
| 5 years of primary to third year of secondary | 47 | 0.46 |  | 0.25 |  | 0.24 |  |
| 4 years of secondary | 29 | -1.04 | 0.20 | -1.13 | 0.20 | -1.08 | 0.20 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 34 | -0.13 |  | -0.08 |  | -0.20 |  |
| 2 | 77 | 0.18 |  | 0.37 |  | 0.34 |  |
| 3 | 57 | 0.27 |  | 0.40 |  | 0.51 |  |
| 4 | 24 | -0.79 |  | -0.94 |  | -1.00 |  |
| 5 or more | 46 | -0.13 |  | -0.55 |  | -0.53 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.15 |  | 0.23 |  | 0.25 |
| Nature of Labor <br> Force Participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside | 66 | 0.26 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.43 |  |
| At home | 56 | 0.72 |  | 0.74 |  | 0.70 |  |
| Non-working | 116 | -0.50 |  | -0.71 |  | -0.58 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.25 |  | 0.33 |  | 0.28 |


| Variable and Category | N | Unadjusted |  | Adjusted for Independents |  | Adjusted for Independents \& Covariates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dev'n | Eta | Dev'n | Beta | Dev'n | Beta |
| Monthly Income Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US \$ 25 or less | 110 | -0.01 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.08 |  |
| 25-50 | 92 | 0.08 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |  |
| 50 and over | 36 | -0.18 | 0.04 | -0.45 | 0.09 | -0.42 | 0.09 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social and Familial } \\ & \text { Habits of Fathers } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Good | 121 | -0.42 |  | -0.43 |  | -0.39 |  |
| Normal | 49 | 0.28 |  | 0.23 |  | 0.21 |  |
| Bad | 68 | 0.55 |  | 0.60 |  | 0.54 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.21 |  | 0.22 |  | 0.20 |
| Multiple R Squared |  |  |  |  | 0.201 |  | 0.226 |
| Multiple R |  |  |  |  | 0.448 |  | 0.476 |

children whose mothers were frequently ill developed more slowly than those whose mothers were healthier. Children who were breastfed for a longer duration of time tend to develop better than those who were breastfed for a shorter duration. Furthermore children whose mothers could devote more time to them developed better than those whose mothers could devote less time. Data also show that the amount of income earned by mothers themselves is negatively associated with the child's social and emotional development.

## Problems of Children's Social and Emotional Development

The problems of children's social and emotional development are those associated with eating, sleeping and toileting habits. Table 4.16 shows that children whose mothers were better educated had less problems than those whose mothers were less educated. Children who came from one-child families or from four-or-more child families had less problems than those who came from two-or-three child families. Children whose mothers worked either in or outside the home had more problems than those whose mothers did not work. There is a strong positive relationship between fathers' social and familial habits. Children from families whose fathers shared greater responsibilities of parenthood tended to have less problems than those whose fathers shared less responsibilities.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In earlier days, Thai women, by customs and beliefs, kept themselves in the house, doing housework and refraining from outside social activities. As things are changing so are the status and role of Thai women. Instead of keeping themselves in the households, they increasingly participate in outside activities.

Arising from their participation in the country's economy are the problems of childrearing. Statistics reveal that among the working women, those who have been married and had children are increasing in number and proportion, and that young married women, who have relatively low incomes and have to work outside the home to maintain their living standard, are likely to face problems of childrearing. The magnitude of the problems depends on several factors including actual family size, the health of mothers and children, spouse relationship and attitudes toward childrearing.

Children are the nation's future only if they are physically able and well socialized. But if they are not physically well, they are more likely to be liabilities than assets to the families and the nation. Even more so when they are unhealthy. Within the families when they become ill it is the mothers who look after them.

Usually when a woman has to do both housekeeping and working outside the home, the problems of childrearing arise, not only in terms of feeding children but in socializing them as well. The problems intensify when women have to continue their work and cannot find anyone to take care of childrearing. The most common solutions adopted by Thai women are getting a servant to take care of their children, taking them to nurseries, or leaving their children with relatives.

A review of literature on female labor force participation, fertility and quality of child care for pre-school children leads to the following hypotheses:
a) The nature of female labor force participation affects the pattern and quality of childrearing in terms of time spent with children, nutrition, health and child-rearers.
b) Women who work outside the home tend to h:ve more problems of childrearing than those who work at home.
c) Women who work outside the home recognize the impact of fanily size on their economic role. They tend to have a smaller size family and practice more family planning than those who work at home.

With respect to labor force participation and fertility, it has also been found that labor force participation is significantly related to fertility. Women who simply stay at home had a smaller family size than those who worked outside the home and those who worked at home respectively. Even though working at home does not conflict with having a large family size, those who idly stayed at home were likely to be in a poorer economic condition, and other things being equal, they could not afford to have a larger family.

Regarding the relationship between female labor force participation and desire for additional children, it has been found that desire for additional children becomes less as women have more children. Preliminary analysis shows that women who had fewer children desired additionde chidien in a greater proportion than those who had more. Regarding the relationghiy between female labor force participation and the desire for additional children, those who worked outside the home expressed a desire for adistional children in a greater proportion than those who stayed or worked at home. It has been found that after having one child, those who worked outside the home desired fewer children than those who simply stayed or worked at home respectively. However, when control is made for women's ace, education, the number of children, family type and income variables, the opposite is true. That is, when women are similar in other characteristics, working women tend to desire a smaller family size than those who do not work, tue to the fact that those who work outside the home had more chilaren than those who stayed at home.

As for the sex composition of children, data show that mothers who had neither boys nor girls tended to desire more children than the others. It could be inferred that a desire for additional children simply results from the need to balance the sex composition of children.

In addition to its effect on desire for additional children, working outside the home also affects women's practice of birth control. Data also
show that mothers who worked outside the home tended to practice birth control in a greater percentage than mothers who stayed or worked at nome respectively, due to the fact that those who work outside the home had more children than those who stayed at home.

In terms of childrearing, working mothers were found to spend significantly less time with children as compared with housewives and mothers who worked at home. In addition, a mother's participation in the labor force generally had a negative impact on child health as compared to those who worked at home and those who were not employed.

The negative relationship between working experience and child's illness could be interpreted as a conflict between female labor force participation and motherhood. This is also confirmed by a negative regression coefficient between child's illness and the amount of time spent with children. The level of fertility also has a significant positive impact on child's illness. Children in a family with a larger number of boys or girls are likely to be ill more frequently than those in a smaller family.

In addition to illness, women's participation in the labor force also affects child malnutrition. It has been found that children whose mothers worked at home suffered least from malnutrition, followed by non-working and working mothers respectively. Data again confirm the general hypothesis on the conflicting roles of motherhood and women's career. Data also show that family size is positively related to malnutrition. Children who belonged to large families tended to suffer more than those belonged to smaller families. One-child children were the least to suffer from malnutrition.

It is most interesting to find that the most significant variable related to the child's social and emotional development is the father's social and familial habits. Children whose father have low familial responsibility are slower in their development than those with more responsible fathers. Furthermore, children whose mothers could devote more time to them develop better than those whose mothers could devote less time.

In relation to fertility, children who came from one-child families or from four-or-more child families had less problems than those who came from two-or-three child families. Children whose mothers worked
either in or outside the home had more problems than those whose mothers did not work. There is a strong positive relationship between father's social and familial habits and child's social and emotional developmental problems. Children from families whose fathers share greater responsibilities of parenthood tend to have less problems than those whose fathers share less responsibilities.

In conclusion, even though women's participation in the labor force outside the home has a positive impact on the level of fertility and the practice of birth control, it also has a negative impact on the desire for additional children, the quality of child care, and thus health and nutritional status of children.

If it is agreed that children are the nation's future only if they are physically able and well socialized, then Thai society must make an effort to see to it that women are relieved of some work and able to spend more time with their children. An attempt should also be made to institutionalize some kind of social organization that will ease the conflicting roles of motherhood and women's career. Men should also participate more in childrearing as it has a favorable effect on children's health and well-being.
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