DENIS, IDRC/PETS/CRDI

IDRC-I

26

OTTAWE

**IV** 197

5-200

presented to ICRISAT

1977 International Sorghum Workshop, Hyderabdd, 2nd March 1977. SORGHUM GRAIN MOLD IN SENEGAL

METHODS USED FOR IDENTIFYING RESISTANT VARIETIES "

Jacques C. Denis, Technical Advisor, IDRC, Sorghum Breeder, an Jean-Claude Girard, Research Engineer, IRAT, Phytopathologist, ISRA, CNRA, Bambey, Senegal (with technical collaboration of Ms. Mbayang Samb.

The need, in intensive agriculture, for early maturing sorghum varieties (this fits in better with the duration of the rains, and allows end of season ploughing) has led to recently in Senegal to a problem which was previously unknown - grain moulds. Early varieties mature when atmospheric humidity is high, heavy dew falls and usually before the last rains fall. In these circumstances their grains are frequently mould covered, which causes several problems.

- grain appearance is spoilt: sometimes they turn pinkish, grey or black making them unacceptable
- intrinsic qualities are changed, mouldy grains become more floury and are less suitable for making bread
- germinability is reduced
- sometimes sorghum diseases can be transmitted on infected grains
- and finally, but this is not confirmed, certain Fusarium sp. of the roseum group may produce mycotoxins.

For these reasons it is necessary to identify sorghum varieties which are resistant to moulds.

1. Methods used for identifying mould resistant sorghums

#### 11. Conditions for conducting field trials

111. <u>Natural conditions</u>. This technique is consciously or unconsciously used by all breeders. The breeder picks plants with the best looking panicles from plants cultivated in normal conditions, automatically eliminating the most moldy panicles. In fact it is often not known if the selected panciles are actually resistant to grain mold or if they have escaped since climatic conditions were unfavourable to the growth of fungi when the grains were at a susceptible stage.

112. <u>Early sowing</u>. High humidity at the time of grain maturation favors mold growth. Therefore as far as the material conditions permit, the plants to be tested for mold resistance should be sown before the start of the rainy season on irrigated land so that the grains mature when the rainfall is still heavy. This method is particularly used at the CNRA Bambey.

113. <u>Sowing in very wet areas</u>. In order to encourage mold development, the tests can be set up in an area which is wetter than the one for which the varieties are bred. Thus the sorghum breeder sets up tests in Sefa, Casamance (average rainfall 1,250 mm), whereas the varieties are bred for 750 - 900 mm rainfall zones.

114. <u>Bagging the panicles</u>. A moist chamber is created by placing. selfing bags (around the panicle). This should logically encourage mold development. But in fact the results apparently vary according to the case: sometimes mold damage increases, but sometimes bagging apparently protects the grains.

115. Delayed harvesting. Delayed harvesting long after physiologic maturity allows the molds to develop and damage the grains if the fungi were present during grain development. Different varieties should not be harvested the same day but a fixed number of days after each has attained physiological maturity.

116. Artificial inoculations. Panicles can be artificially inoculated to ensure that they do not escape mold infection. It is a simple principle: the mold is cultivated in artificial conditions, the panicles are sprayed with a suspension containing the mold spores, the panicles are then kept in an environment which is favourable to mold growth. But in practice this is not easy and involves several operations. This method should in fact be reserved for testing lines which have already shown resistance in natural conditions. At present this method is being used by an ICRISAT pathologist at Hyderabad who inoculates sorghum lines with Curvularia lunata and Fusarium spp strains.

The technique was experimented in Bambey in 1972 with Fusarium moniliforme but the results were disappointing showing the same infection rate as in the inoculated panicles. These experiments have not been repeated since then in Senegal.

#### 12. Methods for analysis and evaluation of molds

121. Overall observation of the panicles. This is also a notation which is currently employed by breeders, either in the field or for laboratory selections. Molds affect grain appearance strongly particularly the "tan" varieties, by using this method the breeder eliminates the most moldy varieties. It is quite obvious that this type of notation is inadequate.

ICRISAT has suggested a notation for panicles in the field, according to the proportion of moldy grains (the actual problem of molds) and the degree to which the rachis and its ramifications are attacked (problem of head blight or dry rot of the panicle). The following scale has been used:

- 1. no mold;
- 2. scanty superficial mold growth on the rachis ramifications and on the glumes, grains generally mold free;
- considerable mold growth on the rachis and upto 25% of the grains obviously mold;
- 4. considerable mold growth on the rachis and the glumes and 26-50% of the grains obviously moldy;
- 5. panicle severely molded and more than 50% of the grains discolored and covered with mold.

122. Notation of varieties by comparing with a range of moldy grain. This technique was developed by Dr. N. Zummo. Grain samples are observed through a magnifying lens and are classified by comparing them with a range of grains consisting of 10 samples with an increasing degree of moldiness (from 1 = strictly mold free till 10 = completely moldy grains).

Colored and light colored grains can be noted separately since grain color is a visual impression and if colored and light colored grains are noted together, colored grains are marked unfavourably.Hundreds of samples can be observed by this simple and viable method. It is very frequently used at the CNRA Bambey in the primary stages of breeding operations for mold resistance.

- 1 = mold-free grain or with scanty mold growth;
- 2 = 1-9% of the visible surface of the grain covered with mold;
- 3 = 20-79% of the visible surface of the grain covered with mold;
- 4 = more than 80% of the visible surface of the grain covered with mold;

An index is calculated on the basis of these notations:

$$i = \frac{n1 \times 0 + n2 \times 10 + n3 \times 50 + n4 \times 100}{N}$$

where nl, n2, n3, and n4 are the numbers for the grains classified in the categories 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively and N is the total number of grains observed in the sample. Generally 4 samples of 50 grains each are observed.

Petri dishes are placed in an incubator at 27°C for 24 hours for the fructification of the mold. They are then identified by means of binocular magnifying lens.

Since the grains are disinfected before incubation, the advantage of this method is that it is undertaken regardless of the fact that the mold has penetrated the grain teguments. Samples which appear to be mold free but are in fact infected can thus be eliminated. Finally the fungi which is involved can be magnified for observation. This method has two disadvantages: it cannot be used for screening a large number of varieties as it is long and tedious, moreover the same person has to conduct the observations since visual estimation of the moldy surface varies greatly with each observor. It is therefore reserved for a detailed study of the samples i.e. those which have already passed the first stages of the breeding operations.

124. <u>Germination and harvest tests</u>. Seeds are germinated in incubators on moist filter paper or in the field and the percentage of seeds which have developed into seedlings is noted after a given interval of time. Generally these tests are easy and there is apparently a good correlation between mold damage and the harvest. This reveals the internal mold damage. These tests are most useful for sorghum grains which are to be used as seed.

None of these tests are adequate; they are complementary and as a whole they are an effective instrument in breeding operations for grain mold resistance.

#### 2. Some Results

21. Sorghum grain fungi found in Senegal. They are as follows:

-Alternaria tenuis, Aspergillus sp., Choanephora sp., Cladosporium sp., Colletotrichum graminicola, Colletotrichum sp., Curvularia spp., Fusarium moniliforme, Fusarium roseum, Gloeocercospora sorghi, Gonatobotrys sp., Helminthosporium spp., Penicillium sp., Phoma sp., Nigrospora sp., Ramularia sp., and other unidentified genera.

In spite of the strange appearance of the panicle covered by Choanephora Sp., it is apparently absolutely harmless. It appears on the drying floral parts and disappears when they fall.

All the other fungi mentioned above can be found on maturing grains. The most common ones are: Fusarium, Curvularia, the Sphaeropsidales (including Phoma sp.) and Helminthosporium. Collectrichum graminicola is also a causative agent of anthracnose (leaf spot, stem rot and head blight). Gloeocencospora sorghi causes zonal spots. In the latter cases grain infection is a sure means for conserving and propogating these diseases.

Certain grains which are placed in moist chambers are attacked by bacteria. They are perhaps responsible for certain bacterial diseases currently found in Senegal (Bacterial streak = Xanthomones holciocola in particular).

### 22.22. Comparative study of grain mold in Bambey, Nioro and Sefa

221 <u>Description of the study</u>. This study was begun in 1975. In the beginning Prof. R.A. Frederiksen\* had proposed conducting comparative study of the mycoflora of the sorghum grains in Texas, Nigeria and Senegal.

\* Professor of Plant Pathology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, U.S.A.

Four American varieties of sorghum (1 = NKX 3183, 2== B 3197, 3 = TAM 680and 4 = TAM 428) were planted in different countries and harvest samples were sent to Dr. S.B. Mathur\* for analysis. In Senegal these varieties have been planted in Bambey, Nioro-du-Rip and Sefa, along with three samples from Senegal (5 = CE 90, 6 = 7420.062.2 and 7 = 7403.048-1) and one Indian sample which is highly susceptible to mold (8 = M.35-1). Laboratory analyses were conducted at Bambey and Cophenhagen. Unfortunately the Copenhagen results have not yet arrived and only the Senegal results will be reported.

The following are the notations for grain samples of each specimen in each place:

1/-Estimation of molded grain surface (cf. 123);

- 2/- Determination of the rate of non-germinated seeds in gelose water after a 4-day incubation period at 27°C;
- 3/- Determination of the rate of non-germinated seeds on filter paper after a 4-day incubation period at alternate temperatures: 12 hours at 20°C, 12 hours at 30°C. (analysis by the seed production service of the CNRA of Bambey);
- 4/- Determination of the rate of non-germinated seeds and of abnormal seedlings after a 10-day incubation period on filter paper at alternate temperatures: 12 hours at 20°C, 12 hours at 30°C. (analysis of the seed production service of the CNRA of Bambey);
- 5/- notation according to the Zummo scale (cf. 122);
- 6/- Determination of the proportion of grains carrying Fusarium spp. (cf. 123);
- 7/- Determination of the proportion of grains carrying Curvularia spp. (cf. 123);
- 8/- Determination of the proportion of grains carrying Helmintospotium spp. (cf. 123);
- 9/- Determination of the proportion of grains carrying pycnides fungi (cf. 123);
- 10/- Determination of the proportion of grains carrying Colletotrichum spp. (cf. 123).

4 samples were noted for each treatment, except for criteria 3, and 4, for which only one sample was examined, and for 5 for which 4 observations were made for varieties 5, 6 and 7 and only 1 for the others.

Correlation studies were undertaken for collecting all these data, but variance analyses were only conducted for criteria 1, 2, 6 and 9.

 \* Seed Pathologist, Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology -78, Rynangs Alle, DK 2900, Hellerup Copenhagen (Denmark 222. <u>Results - Interpretation</u>. Observation averages are given in tables (iii and IV at the end of the text (pages 11-15).

\* Correlations (table 1)

The correlation study on all the data shows the following:

-Notation criterium 1, i.e. the estimation of the molded grain surface is positively related to the 1% level of criteria 2, 3 (percentage of non-germinated seeds), 4, (percentage of non-germinated seeds and abnormal seedlings), 5 (Zummo scale) and 6 (percentage of grains carrying Fusarium sp..), and negatively related to the 1% level of 9 (percentages of grains carrying pycnides fungi), but it is not related to 7, 8, and 10 (percentage of grains carrying *Curvularia spp.*, *Helminthosporium spp. Colletotrichum spp.* **respectively**); however correlation coefficients are clearly higher in the case of criteria 2, 3 and 4 than in the case of 5 and 6.

Therefore the larger the molded surface, the more difficult it is for the seed to germinate. Germination tests which are easy to conduct in standard conditions would be of great use in the study of molds. If the fungi are taken into consideration, the Fusarium would greatly influence the conditioning of the molded grain surface whereas **Curvularia** and Helminthosporium have, a slight or no influence. Pycnide funig vary in inverse proportion to the molded grain surface.

The last statement can be explained by the fact that pycnide fungi develop in the form of small spots on the grains, therefore the molded surface appears to be small in comparison to that covered by other fungi; it is also possible that the pycnides are only visible on not too modly grains.

-criteria 2, 3 and 4 concerning seed germination are obviously strongly interrelated, and with criterium 1 (molded grain surface) and 5 (Fuscrium). As in the case of 1, they are negatively related with criteria 7 (Curvularia) and 8 (Helminthosporium).

Fusarium would apparently harm germination unlike Curvularia and Helminthosporium.

-criterium 5 (Zummo scale) is positively related to all the other criteria, except 7 and 8 to which it is negatively related. This shows that it is of general use.

-For the criteria involving the different fungi an inverse relation has been observed between 6 (Fusarium) and 7 and 8 on the other hand (Curvularia and Helminthosposporium) is this a case of competition or the law of the first occupant. The same can be said of critelum 9 (pychides) whereas between 6 and 9 there is no significant correlation. 10 (Collectrichum spp.) is positively related to 4 and 5 and negatively to 8 and 9. Thus it can be assumed that besides giving a bad appearance to the grains, Collectrichum probably causes grain deterioration which consequently leads to abnormal seedlings (criterium 4).

#### \* Variance analysis of all the data

The results of the homogeneity tests of the variances have enabled the analysis of the combined data from the 3 places, related to criteria 1 (molded surface), 2 (non-germination), 6 (Fusarium) and 9 (pycnides) which are more important for the determination of the most general criterium 1.

These analyses indicate that places and varieties are distinctly different from each other, and that there exists an interaction between the varieties and places (See table 11: Analysis of global variance).

The results apparently suggest the need to conduct tests in several places in order to obtain elite varieties resistant to differenttypes of molds.

In the case of criterium 1, the varieties are distinctly different, with variety 3 as the best and variety 8 as the worst.

For criteria 2, 6 and 9 the varieties do not differ so greatly (see table III). These results confirm the sound choice of criterium 1 for comparing the varieties. On the other hand criterium 1 cannot be used for differenciating the 2 southern stations, Nioro and Sefa, whereas the other criteria 2, 6 and 9 show the difference (see table IV). Generally the disease is more severe in Nioro and in Sefa than in Bambey.

### \*Variance analysis of separate data from Bambey, Nioro, and Sefa

Results indicate that highly significant differences exist between the varieties everywhere except for criterium 6 (Fusarium) in the Bambey test (see table 5).

Table VI shows the variety averages per place for each of the criteria 1, 2, 6 and 9. It confirms that variety 3 is one of the best, varieties 4 and 8 remain the worst. Variety 1 was the least affected by Fusarium in the 3tests. At Nioro, besides varieties 4 and 8 which are the worst, all the other varieties showed the same performance regarding germination and susceptibility to Fusarium.

#### 223. Conclusion of this study

This method has enabled quite an accurate study of the reaction of 8 sorghum varieties to molds as seen in 3 locations in Senegal where they were grown. Several conclusions can be drawn:

- importance of scale 1 (estimation of molded grain surface) for separating the varieties. The obvious disadvantage of this method is that it is slow and can only be used for specific studies;

- importance of germination studies which are easy to conduct in standard conditions, and in close correlation with 1. However they do not necessarily give a clear picture of the health of the grains since they can also be influenced by other factors: intrinsic properties of the varieties, state of grain maturity etc.

- considerable importance of Fusarium: the rate of grains carrying these fungi seems to coincide with the estimated molded surface and the rate of non-germinated seeds;

- results for the other fungi are less consistant and more detailed studies are required;

- differences between the places: mold incidence is more severe in Nioro and Sefa than in Bambey.

- finally among the tested varieties, 1 and 3 appear to be the best whereas 4 and 8 are the worst. Among the others 4 is definitely the least good variety.

#### 23. Example of the use of the Zummo scale for the 1975 screenings

231. The test. Fifty-four  $F_5$  lines from the same cross were planted iith the parents in a random bloc test with 4 replicates at 3 experimental stations in Senegal having different ecological conditions, viz. Bambey, Nioro and Darou. These lines had already undergone 2 breeding cycles for better looking grains. While harvesting, a sample was taken from each plot. Each of these samples was marked by three observors according to the Zummo scale. This was done twice with a time interval between the two markings. According to this scale 1 is for a mold-free sample and 10 is for a completely molded sample. A global analysis for the 3 places was made on the basis of the total number of marks given by the 3 observors.

#### 232. Results

#### \*Variance analysis.

The results of the combined variance analysis for the 3 places are given in table VII. All the sources of variation viz. varieties, places and interactions are highly significant. Once again it is seen that molds vary from place to place and varieties do not react in the same way everywhere. This implies that varieties must be tested in several places and that a variety may perform well in one place but not in another. But that does not mean that it is impossible to find a variety which performs well in several areas.

#### \*General performance of the varieties

The general averages of the observations for the different varieties are given in Table VIII. In the scale used the smallest possible value is 3 and the biggest is 30 (the sum of 3 observations with a 1-10 scale) which led to a theoretical averages of 16.5, whereas the smallest value in the test is 15.29, the biggest is 19.17 and the average is 16.80. It can therefore be concluded that most of the varieties have an average performance vis-a-vis molds, apart from varieties 28, 34, 36, 37, 5, 9, 41 and 25 which are apparently the best and varieties 50, 51, 60, 61 and 29 which which are visibly the worst.

233. <u>Conclusion</u>. In this particular case, the Zummo scale has proved to be a quite useful for sorghum breeding for mold resistance, since in a group of varieties with limited variability in terms of performance vis-a-vis molds, the application of this method has however enabled the differenciation of the varieties. However a large number of observations were used and since the grains were of a light color, notation made easier.

#### 3. General conclusion

Each of the methods described has its own use and none of them can be used exclusively. Besides, it is logical to use several methods together, at least criteria 1 (estimation of molded surface), 2 (germination test), 5 (Zummo scale) and 6 (Fusarium). In practice the Zummo scale is used in the primary stages of breeding, operation, reserving more detailed studies for the advanced stages or for more specific research.

**4 TABLES OF THE RESULTS** 

		1975 (	COMPARAT CORREL	IVE STUD ATION CO	Y OF SOR	ighum GR/ Its	AIND MOLI	)		
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	- 9	10	
B N S G	.765 .587 .659 .267	.764 .857 .735 .674	.623 .767 .523 .500	.574 .302 .140 .351	-1168 .518 .484 .359	.304 .073 071 029	.317 265 127 088	392 479 403 304	.206 123 270 097	1
	B N S G	.771 .736 .851 .882	.657 .723 .738 .822	.292 .522 .375 .645	.091 .448 .420 .427	.292 143 226 273	.201 365 053 397	562 277 526 144	.526 098 .079 .147	.2
		B N G	.896 .898 .817 .917	.315 .461 .541 .724	.125 .616 .372 .489	.030 081 381 411	.137 400 025 491	489 566 618 123	.438 044 .163 .198	3
B = Bamb N = Nior S = Sefa G = Glob	ey oal		B N S G	.230 .268 .193 .625	.260 .671 .453 .483	.150 202 040 328	.172 370 082 485	618 535 689 105	.568 085 .306 .243	Ą
1. Surfa 2. Propo s 3. Propo	ce evaluat rtion of n eeds in ge rtion of n	ion of me on-germin lose wate	oldy gra nated er nated	ins <mark>B</mark> N G	278 .155 102 .298	026 040 578 498	.034 064 .065 509	.098 .050 247 .218	026 238 .415 .271	5
s 4. Propo 5. "Zumm	eeds on fi rtion of n eeds and a o" scale	lter pape ion-germin ibnormal s	er nated seedling	S	B N G	101 490 166 480	070 423 315 432	217 302 454 049	.440 221 132 .112	6
6. Propo Fus 7. Propo 8. Propo 9. Propo	rtion of g <u>arium</u> spp. rtion of g rtion of g rtion of g	rains can rains can rains can rains can	rrying rrying <u>C</u> rrying <u>H</u> rrying S	urvulari elmintho phoerops	<u>a</u> spp. <u>sporium</u> idales	B N Sp. G	.452 .197 .232 .530	652 212 .239 388	.060 .061 064 180	7
10.Propo a=Signif	rtion of g	rains car els for E	(pyc) rrying <u>C</u> Bambey, I	nides) olletotr Niro & S	<u>ichum</u> sp efa, at	p.	B N S G	384 .345 .242 353	171 099 243 217	8
b=Signif at 5%	5%; icance lev ; .205, at	.350, at els for t :1%:.267	t 1%: .4 the comb 7	50 ined res	ults (gl	obal)		B N S G	527 112 588 248	9

TABLE 1

### TABLE II

## 1975 COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SORGHUM GRAIN MOLDS

### ANALYSIS OF GLOBAL VARIANCE

		1.Moldy surface	2.Non-germinated	6.Fusarium	9.Pyonides
Source of variation	D.F.	Variance	Variance	Variance	Variance
Place	2	358.553**	11,323.167**	1,516.292**	1,771.885**
Variety	7	2,087.842**	2,672.613**	327.613**	1,673.499**
Place & variety	14	51.639**	351.167**	144.577**	165.552*
Error	72	0.280	100.181	40.403	76.497
Total	95		-		
C.V. = %		2.5%	16.9%	12.1%	26.1%

<u>N.B.</u>: \* = 5% significance level

\*\* = 1% significance level.

### 12 TABLE III

## 1975 COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SORGHUM GRAIN MOLDS

### AVERAGES OF THE OBSERVATIONS

Criteria no Varieties	tations	1 Moldy surface	2 Non- germi- nated 1	3 Non- germi- nated 2	4 Non- germi- nated+ Abnor- mal	5 Zummo scale	6 Fusarium	7 Curvu- laria	8 Helm inth- ospo- rium	9 Pyc- nides	10 Coll- ecto- trium
1 1=NKX-3183	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	10.90 16.15 14.00 13.60 <sup>b</sup>	22.00a, 71.00 57.50 50.17a,	b 8.00 53.50 52.00 b 37.83	20.00 83.50 66.00 56.50	4.00 6.00 6.00 5.33	39.00 48.00 46.50 44.50a	19.00 18.00 5.50 14.17	10.00 3.00 2.00 5.0	44.50 47.50 56.50 49.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
2 2=B-3197	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	15.00 19.75 18.75 17.83d	18.00 67.00 52.50 45.83a	8.00 55.50 43.00 35.50	15.00 77.50 52.00 48.17	5.00 6.00 6.00 5.67	43.50 50.00 54.50 49.33a,b	14.00 12.00 7.00 11.00	3.50 3.50 0.00 2.33	5.00 48.00 53.50 50.50	0.00 0.00 2.50 0.83
3 3=TAM-680	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	8.95 12.00 11.90 10.95a	35.50 57.00 51.50 48.00a,	12.00 45.00 35.00 b 30.67	18.00 79.50 77.00 58.17	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	44.00 51.00 61.00 52.00b	28.00 16.50 24.00 22.83	10.50 2.00 0.00 4.17	28.00 32.25 46.00 35.42	0.00 2.50 3.50 2.00
4 4 <b>=TAX-</b> 428	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	11.20 20.90 15.80 15.97c	44.00 87.50 79.50 70.33c	45.00 83.00 81.00 69.67	72.00 93.00 94.50 86.50	4.00 6.00 7.00 5.67	55.50 62.00 59.50 59.00c	16.00 5.50 3.00 8.17	4.50 <b>0.00</b> 0.00 1.50	18.00 27.00 17.00 20.67	5.00 4.00 25.50 11.50
5 5=CE90	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	13.00 13.90 12.85 13.25b	30.00 74.50 63.50 56.00 ,	9.00 58.50 51.50 53.53	47.00 81.50 76.00 68.17	4.63 6.00 6.13 5.59	47.00 43.50 62.00 50.83 <sup>a</sup> ,b	32.50 25.50 10.50 22.83	11.50 4.00 1.50 5.67	19.50 32.00 54.00 35.17	3.00 0.50 3.50 2.33
6 6=7420-062-	Bambey 2Nioro Sefa Av.	13.40 22.45 34.30 23.38f	21.00 67.00 71.00 53.00a,	10.00 66.00 65.00 b 47.00	36.00 81.00 80.00 65.67	3.75 6.13 5.88 5.25	47.50 54.00 75.00 58.83c	32.00 23.50 7.50 21.00	12.50 0.50 0.00 4.33	22.50 35.00 34.50 30.67	0.50 0.00 0.00 0.17
7 7=7403-048-	Bambey INioro Sefa Av.	17.00 22.40 22.95 20.78 <sup>c</sup>	52.00 72.00 53.00 59.00b	12.00 66.50 45.50 41.33	28.00 80.00 71.00 59.67	4.00 5.75 5.88 5.21	51.50 44.50 53.50 49.83a,b	32.50 25.00 19.00 25.50	8.00 2.00 0.00 3.33	14.00 30.00 35.00 26.33	4.50 9.00 15.50 9.67
8 8=M.35.1	Bambey Nioro Sefa Av.	47.40 56.15 52.45 52.00g	81.00 94.50 97.00 90.83d	63.50 98.00 98.00 86.50	79.50 98.00 99.00 91.83	5.00 6.00 6.00 5.67	42.50 62.00 68.00 57.50c	32.00 20.50 11.00 21.17	15.00 1.00 0.50 5.50	10.50 18.00 29.50 19.33	3.00 0.00 0.50 1.17

NB: Values with different letters (a,b,c ...) are significantly different from the 5% level for the criterium under consideration (Keuls test)

### TABLE IV

1975	COMPARATIVE	STUDY	OF	SORGHUM	GRAIN	MOLDS
~	DIFFEREN	ICE BET	TWEE	IN THE PI	LACES	

	1. Moldy surface	2. Non- germinated	3. Fusa- rium	9. Pycnides	
Bambey	17.11 <sup>a</sup>	37.94 <sup>a</sup>	46.31 <sup>a</sup>		
Nioro	22.96 <sup>b</sup>	73.81 <sup>°</sup>	51.88 <sup>b</sup>	33.72 <sup>b</sup>	
Sefa	22.84 <sup>b</sup>	65.69 <sup>b</sup>	60.00 <sup>c</sup>	40.75 <sup>c</sup>	

	TABLE V							
	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SORGHUM GRAIN MOLDS							

## PLACE-WISE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Source of variation		ים ח	Variance				
		D.F.	Moldy surface	Non ger- minated	Fusarium	Pyonides	
Varieties	Bambey Nioro Sa <b>fa</b>	7 7 7	624.390** 780.159** 784.003**	1,769.268** 572.839** 1,032.839**	111.125 201.929** 313.714**	814.214** 403.817** 786.571**	
Replicates	Bamtey Nioro Sefa	3 3 3	0.065 0.218 0.353	335.375* 184.542 59.458	1.642 42.833 117.667	8.167 353.031** 141.000	
Error	Bambey Nioro Sefa	21 21 21 21	0.265 0.249 0.302	93.506 90.923 108.220	34.554 25.976 47.000	90.452 45.746 54.333	
Total	Bambey Nioro Sefa	31 31 31 31	-	-		-	
Coefficient of variation	Bambey Nioro Sefa		3.0% 2.2% 2.4%	25.5% 12.9% 15.8%	12.7% 9.8% 11.4%	36.8% 20.1% 18.1%	

ege de

## TABLE VI. 1975 COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SORGHUM GRAIN MOLDS

VARIETY AVERAGES PER PLACE

Varieties	1. Moldy su	urface 2.	Non-germinated	6. Fusarium	9. Pyonides		
	Bambey Nioro	Sefa Bambey	/ Nioro Sefa	Bambey Nioro Sefa	Bambey Nioro Sefa		
]	10.90b 16.15c	c 14.00c 22.00	71.00a 57.50a	39.00a 48.00a 46.50a	44.50 47.50c 56.50c		
2	15.00d 19.75d	d 18.75e 18.00	67.00a 52.50a	43.50a 50.00a 54.50ab	50.00 48.00c 53.50c		
3	8.95a 12.00a	a 11.90a 35.50	57.00a 51.50a	44.00а 51.00а 61.00аъ	28.00 32.25ab 46.00c		
4	11.20b 20.90e	e 15.00d 44.00	87.50b 79.50b	55.50a 62.00b 59.50ab	18.00 27.00ab 17.00a		
5	13.00c 13.90b	b 12.85b 30.00	74.50a 63.00ab	51.00a 43.50a 62.00b	19.50 32.00ab 54.00c		
6	13.40c 22.45f	f 34.30g 21.00	67.00a 71.00ab	47.50a 54.00a 75.00c	22.50 35.00b 34.50b		
7	17.00e 22.40f	f 22.95f 52.00	74.00a 53.00a	51.50a 44.50a 53.50ab	14.00 30.00ab 35.00b		
8	47.40f 56.15g	g 52.45h 81.00	94.50b 97.00c	42.50a 62.00b 68.00bc	10.50 18.00a 29.50b		

### Table VII

#### ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF THE TEST

#### USING THE ZUMMO SCALE

Sources of varianc	e D.F.	sum of the deviation squares	Variance
Varieties	55	841.285	15.296**
Places	2	2020.850	1,010.425**
Varieties x places	110	180.650	1,642**
Error	1176	1048.375	0.891
Total	1343	4091.160	0.891

ppds: 0.2725 C.V.: 5.6%

### Test average: 16.80

N.B. Values with different letters are significantly different from the 5% level for the considered criterium (Keuls test)

# TABLE VIII THE "ZUMMO SCALE" TEST

OBSERVATION AVERAGES

No.	Identification	Av.	No.	Identification	Αν.
1	7410 140-1-1	16.25	29	7410 157-3-0 NAF	16.96
2	7410 140-1-2	16.12	30	7410 168-3-1	18.54
3	7410 069-3-0	16.87	31	7410 168-3-2	17.42
4	7410 226-0-3	16.29	32	7410 209-1-1	16.75
5	7410 082-3-1	15.75	33	7410 122-5-0 NAF	16.33
6	7410 179-1-0	16.25	. 34	7410 125-4-0	15.45
7	7410 134-0-0 NAF	16.62	35	7410 186-1-0	17.21
8	7410 185-1-1	17.17	36	7410 122-3-0	15.45
9	7410 231-2-1	15.83	37	7410 210-3-0	15.45
10	7410 231-2-2	16.12	38	7410 169-0-0	17.17
11	7410 216-2-0 NAF	16.75	39	7410 226 <b>-0-</b> 1	16.84
12	7410 231-1-0	16.17	40	7410 006-0-0	17.29
13	7410 168-2-0	17.17	41	7410 082-3-2	15.87
14	7410 209-2-0	17.79	42	7410 230-2-1 NAF	16.83
15	7410 088-0-0 NAF	16.83	43	7410 226-0-2	16.45
16	7410 237-2-1	16.96	44	7410 028-2-1	16.29
17	7410 069-2-0 NAF	17.79	45	7410 028-2-2	17.62
18	7410 237-2-2	16.75	46	7410 122-4-1	16.21
19	7410 157-2-0 NAF	16.25	47	7410 187-0-0 NAF	16.29
20.	7410 080-0-0	16.25	48	7410 122-4-2	16.50
21	7410 032-2-0	18.87	49	7410 020-4-0	17.12
22	7410 185-1-1	17.29	50	MS 59 CE90	18.112
23	7410 179-2-0 NAF	17.33	51	7410 020-6-0	18.21
24	7410 186-3-0 NAF	19.17	52	7410 209-1-2	16.92
25	7410 231-2-3	15.95	53	7410 230-2-2 NAF	16.42
26	7410 036-0-1	16.87	54	7410 226-2-0	16.71
27	7410 036-0-2	16.92	55	MS 60 67-17	16.75
<b>2</b> 8	7410 236-0-0 NAF	15.29	56	7410 122-2-0	16.79

ppds = 0.2725

## ERRATA

ICRISAT 1977 International Sorghum Workshop

SORGHUM GRAIN MOLD IN SENEGAL

METHODS USED FOR IDENTIFYING RESISTANT VARIETIES

Jacques C. Denis, Technical Advisor, IDRC, Sorghum Breeder, and Jean-Claude Girard, Research Engineer, IRAT, Plant Pathologist of ISRA, CNRA, Bambey, Senegal (with technical collaboration of Ms. Mbayang Samb).

Page 3: Right in the middle of the page, after line 20 insert:

"123. Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of grain infestation.

This method has been developed at CNRA of Bambey. It gives information on both the damage caused to the grain and the composition of the mycoflora associated with molds. Grain samples are first surface sterilised by dipping them for 5 minutes in an alcoholic solution of sodium hypochloride (7 volumes of 950 ethyl alcohol with 1 volume of sodium hypochloride), rinsed 3 times with sterile water and then plated out on water-agar. The seeds are incubated overnight (14 hours) at 27°C, following which each grain is observed under binocular lens and classified in one of the following categories:

<u>Page 3</u>: Final paragraph, lines 1, 2 and 3 instead of "the advantages of this method is that it is undertaken regardless of the fact that the mold has penetrated" read "the advantage of this method is that it takes account only of the fungi which have penetrated".

<u>Page 4</u>: Line 7; instead of "germination and harvest tests" read "germination and emergence tests".

Page 4: Line 11; instead of "harvest" read "emergence".

Page 9: 223 Conclusion; in the final line instead of "made" read "was".

Page 9: Line 19; instead of "Besides" read "Hence".

Page 12: Table III, Column 9, delete the exponent letters a,b,c, etc.