Africas population is projected to nearly quadruple over the next century1. And that is following a staggering increase over just seven decades — from 200 million people in 1950 to 1.25 billion in 2018 (ref. 2). Meanwhile, temperatures across the continent are expected to rise by between 3 °C and 4 °C over the next century, bringing more drought, flooding, conflict and species loss3.

To face these formidable challenges, Africa must improve its capabilities in higher education and research. Yet the quality of the scientific education provided at many universities on the continent has, if anything, deteriorated over the past two decades.

When two of us (A.A. and A.M.) moved from Addis Ababa University in Ethiopia to begin our PhDs in ecology and population genetics at the University of Oslo in Norway (in 2007 and 2012), we had never set foot in a genetics laboratory before, nor even seen a PCR machine. We had taken courses in statistics while pursuing master’s degrees in Ethiopia, but had never touched a computer as part of our training. Thus, we had no practical experience in complex data analysis, and no idea about how to use software programs — such as the statistical packages R or ArcGIS — that are now common tools even in undergraduate courses in ecology, genetics and conservation.
biology in high-income countries.

Between us, we have experience in conducting research or mentoring researchers across sub-Saharan Africa (Botswana, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Senegal, South Africa, Tanzania and Uganda) and in other parts of the world, including China, Canada, Germany, Norway, the United Kingdom and the United States. (Four of us were born in Africa, and trained there as well as in Europe and Canada; the rest of us are from high-income countries.) Drawing on this experience, we lay out the challenges of getting training in the biological and environmental sciences as an African student — at home and abroad.

In our view, the improvements so badly needed must happen mainly in Africa's higher-education system of public and private universities. But support from the international scientific community is essential. Global research and global stability stand to benefit.

BOOM OR BUST?

During the last quarter of the twentieth century, national governments and the World Bank prioritized primary education over higher education. From 1980 to 1996, for instance, of the total budget for education in sub-Saharan Africa, 49% went to primary education and only 18% to higher education.

In the early 2000s, governments and international agencies changed course, recognizing that the global economy of the twenty-first century would be driven by knowledge. A flurry of expansion in higher education across Africa followed. In Ethiopia, for instance, between 2003 and 2012, the share of government expenditure on education was stable for primary education (at 35%); for higher education, it increased from 28% to 43% (ref. 6).

The number of public and private universities has soared. Ethiopia, for example, now has 46; before 2000, it had 2. And between 2000 and 2013, total enrolment in higher education on the continent doubled to 12.2 million.

Yet most of Africa’s universities lack well-equipped laboratories, libraries and other basic infrastructure, such as a reliable electricity supply or Internet connection. There is little funding for research (see ‘Scant spending’). At Addis Ababa University, which ranks 37th among the best African universities in the 2018 university ranking, each PhD student receives about $16,000 in total for 4 years of study. Even accounting for the differences in the cost of living, that’s about 60% less than a typical PhD student receives in Europe or the United States. Faculty members are typically poorly paid and insufficiently trained. And many focus almost exclusively on lecturing because it is almost impossible to provide students with hands-on research experience. What’s more, students have little access to scientific publications other than those that are freely available online. To make matters worse, many of the faculty members who advise graduate students have little teaching, research or advisory experience themselves. In Ethiopia in 2010, less than 20% of university instructors held master’s degrees, and less than 4% held PhDs.

Even in South Africa, the country with the highest total spending on research and development, only 39% of academic staff hold PhDs. This lack of training becomes a vicious circle. Graduate students from many universities produce theses that fall short of international standards, and rarely publish their work in high-impact journals.

As university enrolment in Africa continues to mushroom, its historically underfunded higher-education systems are being stretched to breaking point. Across the continent, academics now teach more classes containing greater numbers of students than ever, leaving little time for research (see ‘Trends in higher education’). Poorly paid faculty members often have second jobs (at other universities, in the private sector or with non-governmental agencies), which compounds the problems. In Nigeria, for example, it is not uncommon for an academic at one university to lecture at several others. Some courses are crammed into a couple of 12-hour days to enable lecturers to get to their next teaching post.

Despite these formidable obstacles, it is an exciting time for higher education and scientific research in Africa. Decades of investment in primary and secondary education have created more candidates for further education. And the growing number of people with Internet access is making collaborations much easier, both in Africa and with the rest of the world.

OVERSEAS SUPPORT

Currently, overseas support for African scientists comes mainly from national and multinational agencies such as the World Bank, from European countries such as France, Germany, Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom, and from individual institutions and research mentors.

Since 2014, the World Bank has provided $500 million to build or strengthen 46 African Higher Education Centers of Excellence for postgraduate education and applied research (see Nature 561, 16; 2018). So far, nearly half of these have attained international accreditation (see also go.nature.com/2kcg9x). In West and Central African countries alone, 8,000 master’s and 2,000 PhD students have received training at these centres so far.

This and other ‘donor-led’ initiatives by the European Union and the United Nations are too fleeting. Funding often lasts for only five years or so, but needs to be provided over decades. Current trends to shrink long-term foreign aid are therefore worrying: in March, US President Donald Trump proposed a 23% cut in diplomatic and aid programmes of the type that would be needed to support intellectual growth in Africa.

When it comes to nations supporting science in Africa, there is considerable variability in approach. Among high-income host countries, France had the most students from Africa in 2016 — around 95,000 (nearly 50% in master’s courses and 8%
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Institutions in wealthier countries can also have important roles in growing scientific capacity in Africa. But too few regularly commit financial resources to this, or encourage their faculty members to get involved. And between-country connections generally rely on existing ties between individuals. These can be fragile and subject to the conditions of the granting agencies. University staff in Africa who have no contacts at a university in Norway cannot apply for NORPART funding, for example.

To be fair, mentoring students who are far from their home countries is demanding. As well as often lacking experience in lab work, techniques in data analysis, writing research papers and so on, the students face cultural and language barriers. Most assistance programmes cover only an individual’s costs, not those of dependants. But many African graduate students are older than most of their classmates from high-income countries and have families to support. Students can opt to leave their families at home, but this brings its own challenges.

THREE STEPS TO CHANGE
Incentivize faculty members in high-income countries. In our view, establishing more one-on-one mentorships for students with advisers from wealthier countries would most rapidly increase the rate at which science develops in Africa.

Existing reward structures in academia favour individuals who win big grants and publish in the most prestigious journals. We think that such reward structures should adapt to meet the changing realities of a global society. Scientists who invest in international collaborations with African scientists and others from low-income countries could be given additional grants specifically for intensive mentoring, for instance. (Imagine how the rate of scientific development in Africa would change if every paper published by an African graduate student mentored by a researcher from...
Support MSc and PhD students. Institutions in high-income countries that support graduate students from Africa should offer modified programmes designed to meet their needs. These could include peer-mentoring programmes, and budgeting for students to do an extra year or more courses to enable degree completion.

Support scientists in Africa. Universities across Africa need to attract and retain more qualified educators by improving working conditions. This would support all academic researchers, but especially those early in their careers. It is relatively easy to obtain a faculty job in many African countries because of the increase in the number of universities.

Many African PhD holders returning home after a stint abroad find it difficult — or impossible — to remain competitive in their field, or to support a family on the salary provided. Instead, they opt for more lucrative work in the private sector or in international development. Others never return, contributing to Africa’s ‘brain drain’.

To encourage African PhDs to stay in
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2.6%

of the world’s peer-reviewed scientific journal articles in 2013 included at least one author based in Africa.

Science in Africa, foundations and other granting bodies worldwide should offer more multi-year research grants that support both basic and applied research on the continent. Funding schemes, similar to those in China and some European countries, should be developed to incentivize African students trained in high-income countries to contribute to scientific development in their country of origin (see Nature 569, 325–326; 2019).

Who benefits?

Developing science in Africa will improve global political and economic stability. And research as a whole will be strengthened — by different ideas born of different challenges and experiences — if many more African scientists become key members of the global scientific community.

Ultimately, it must be Africans themselves who drive the transition towards a stronger Africa. Wealthy African entrepreneurs could help with this. Governments across the continent must bring their own money to higher education and research. But improving Africa’s higher-education and research capacity will also require considerable spending by — and structural change in — the academic and financial institutions of richer nations. Most importantly, scientists working in high-income countries or emerging economies need to change the way they view, value and reward collaborations involving researchers from Africa. It is only by working together that sustainable top-level African universities will develop — institutions that are needed if we are to tackle the major global issues of our time.