problems would be in their own backyards. But it is time to look homeward, to put an end to our childishness, to put away our sense of shame and to conduct ourselves as men. Our national strength is being eroded by our self-reproach and it is the Caribbean artist who can restore our self respect by bringing us to a knowledge of ourselves, by showing us the reflection of our faces, our landscape, our history and our strength.

The Caribbean artist has not in general been encouraged to play his full part in the nation-building exercise. His work is only a few and his potential contribution remains undemanding at the same time as the importance of that contribution increases. V.S. Naipaul, the Trinidad writer, has made the point that, more than most, the West Indian needs his writers to tell him who he is.

A striking anomaly of the present situation is that at the same time as the need for the development of a national consciousness is being stressed, the bombardment continues of the popular mind, the most plastic minds in the community, by advertising and entertainment designed for foreign appetites and life styles. It is therefore in the area of media communications, television, radio and the popular press, that the need for corrective work is most urgent. It is here, that the particular talents of the artist need to be exercised. Caribbean people need to see themselves and their lives treated with sympathy and understanding and intelligence in the media. The serial stories and features produced for North American and European audiences exert an influence on the Caribbean mind which their total irrelevance should have forbidden.

Caribbean art and the Caribbean artist have the capacity to record and interpret the Caribbean personality to itself. If they are encouraged to do so, they can not only produce the distillate from which the people can extract their meaning and signposts as they journey, but also contribute to the repair of the centuries-old fragmentation and separation of these island communities. The continuing reluctance to make use of the creative energy of Caribbean artists to fortify the will and spirit of the Caribbean turns out to be a waste of a valuable national resource. The two Caribbean Festivals of Creative Arts (Carifesta) held so far (Guyana, 1972 and Jamaica, 1976) are indications that the message is beginning to get through the static. That message is that real development aims at the creation of a Caribbean personality that carries no edge of apology nor trace of bombast but only the simple assurance of its own uniqueness and importance to itself. The efficient mobilization of the national energy towards this end requires the use of artistic skills and perceptions which are readily available. All that is lacking is the will to use them.
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concentrated in the hands of a few wealthy landowners. A series of serious internal conflicts between the late nineteenth century and the early 1950s intensified the problem, as thousands of migrants flooded into Asuncion, often en route for neighbouring Argentina. The growth of the capital has been, as a result, chaotic and unplanned.

Various attempts were made to instigate new agrarian and urban policies, but these had little or no effect, in spite of a new constitution that emphasized the social role of property and recognized the function of government in the direction of housing services. The small amount of new housing available was priced beyond the reach of two-thirds of the people. As a result, the report states, there is a need for the construction of more than 10,000 new housing units per year simply in order to keep up with the growth of population and to replace existing deteriorated property.

These and the reports of the other participating national institutions will be published and disseminated early in 1977. But what will be the result? They are, after all, only studies. They contain many recommendations for improvements, but these are binding on no-one. The optimistic answer is to be found in Asia, where the Centre supported an earlier, similar study involving collaborating institutions in eight countries.

That study was completed in 1975 (see IDRC Reports Vol. 4 No. 4) amid enthusiastic responses from the participants. They spoke of a “solidarity and esprit de corps” that would be useful for future cooperation. The exchange of experiences, they said, had been extremely beneficial, and the contacts made would last beyond the lifetime of the project. In Hong Kong that country’s study promptly became basic reading for the University of Hong Kong’s housing management course, and was adopted as a background manual for the newly formed Housing Department.

Recently there has also been recognition that the problems of the urban poor, particularly squatter communities, are not going to be solved by conventional means. There is a growing trend to attempt to upgrade slum areas and squatter sites as an alternative to relocation or other more draconian measures. Such schemes involve the provision of basic services to existing communities and new home sites — services such as drinking water, sewers, electricity and transportation — and assisting the inhabitants to improve or build their own houses. Attempts are also made to locate new sites near factories and other job sources. A variety of such sites-and-services “packages” are now being funded by the World Bank in eight developing countries — including El Salvador.

In order to gauge the impact of these approaches, the Centre is cooperating with the Bank and UNICEF in support of an evaluation of three of the projects, in El Salvador (where the work is being carried out by the same team that prepared the low-cost housing study in that country), in Senegal and in Zambia. The extent to which the goals of the programs are being met will be determined in each country through surveys, observation and case studies, involving both the people living on the sites and those in neighbouring communities affected by the innovations.

More studies, more reports, more talk. But all are necessary steps if the past mistakes of unplanned cities are to be rectified and repetition avoided. At the recent United Nations conference on human settlements in Vancouver many of the delegates called for city planning on a more human scale, and favoured an emphasis on self-help building technologies. Such studies, and the networks of planners they create, bring such ideals a little closer to realization.

This article is based on a report that was originally published in Spanish in CID Informe Vol.5 No.3, written by Susana Amaya, IDRC’s Associate Director for Publications in Bogota.